Five Year Review Report (December 2016 – December 2021)

Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects



January 25, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	4
I. BACKGROUND	. 4
II. CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA) PROCESS	.4
III. DEFINITION OF UNDERTAKINGS FOR THE CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR REMEDIAL	
FLOOD AND EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS	.4
IV. OBJECTIVE OF FIVE YEAR REVIEW REPORT	. 5
V. METHODOLOGY OF INFORMATION COLLECTION	6
VI. STRUCTURE OF REPORT.	
2. SUMMARY OF CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS	8
I. SUMMARY OF CLASS UNDERTAKINGS FROM DECEMBER 2016 – DECEMBER 2021	8
II. EFFECTIVENESS OF THE CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PLANNING AND DESIGN PROCESS	30
3. PART II ORDER REQUESTS	34
I. INTRODUCTION	34
II. SUMMARY OF PART II ORDER REQUESTS AS OF DECEMBER 2021	34
III. EFFECTIVENESS OF THE CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PLANNING AND DESIGN PROCESS	20
WITH RESPECT TO PART II ORDER REQUESTS	38
4. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS	39
5. COMPLIANCE STATEMENT	39
I. TERMS AND CONDITIONS UNDER THE "NOTICE OF APPROVAL OF THE CLASS EA"	40
II. NOTICE OF AMENDMENTS ISSUED BY THE MINISTER OF THE ENVIRONMENT, CONSERVATION AND	
PARKS	40
III. STATEMENTS OF COMPLIANCE (PROPONENT CONSERVATION AUTHORITY EVALUATION FORM)	41
IV. SUMMARY	41
6. CONCLUSIONS	42
APPENDIX A RESULTS OF 2021 ANNUAL EFFECTIVENESS MONITORING	
REPORT SURVEY	43
APPENDIX B EXAMPLE OF PROPONENT CONSERVATION AUTHORITY	
EVALUATION FORM	. 54
APPENDIX C COMMUNITY LIAISON COMMITTEE REPORT EXAMPLE	
	57
APPENDIX D RESULTS OF COMPLETED PROPONENT CA EVALUATION	
FORMS FOR PROJECTS FROM DECEMBER 2016 – DECEMBER	
2021	58
APPENDIX E NOTICE OF APPROVAL FOR CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL	
ASSESSMENT FOR REMEDIAL FLOOD AND EROSION CONTROL	
PROJECTS	61
APPENDIX F SUMMARY OF PUBLIC NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS AND	
	67
	07
AFFENDIA G PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE CLASS EA FOR REMEDIAL	
FLOOD AND EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS (UNDER	
CONSIDERATION BY THE MECP)	70

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Class Environmental Assessment Projects for Remedial Flood and Erosion	n Control from
December 2016 up to December 2021	
Table 2: Summary of Conservation Authority Satisfaction Level Ranking for Stage	s of the Class
EA	

Table 3: Summary of the Public's Satisfaction Level Ranking for Stages of the Class EA Process
Table 4: Issues and Outcomes of Unsatisfactory Ratings (i.e., 2 or less) for Stages of the Class
EA
Table 5: Summary of Part II Order Requests for Projects from December 2016 to December
2021
Table 6: Compliance to Terms and Conditions Made in the Notice of Approval for the Class EA
for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects 40

1. Introduction

i. Background

Under natural conditions, all lands along watercourses and shorelines are subject to periodic flooding. Bank/bluff instability and erosion (collectively referred to as "erosion" problems in this document) along watercourses and shorelines also occur due to natural causes. Land use practices have tended to aggravate both flood and erosion problems. These practices include deforestation, agricultural land clearing, urbanization, and the filling and draining of wetlands. These activities have acted to significantly alter the natural hydrological regime of watercourses. Increases in total volume of surface runoff, in combination with increased flow velocities and flood frequency, also increase river valley erosion.

As part of the mandate of Conservation Authorities (CAs) under the *Conservation Authorities Act*, Conservation Authorities must provide programs and services related to the risk of natural hazards. As a part of the natural hazard management programs, Conservation Authorities may propose remedial work in order to reduce safety risks to human life and property from flooding and erosion. Given the reality of historical development in close proximity to watercourses or shorelines, preventative aspects of the Conservation Authorities' flood and erosion control programs (such as the Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses regulation, planning review, reforestation, or land acquisition) may not be adequate or viable to provide public safety. Therefore, where existing development is at risk, some form of remedial project may be necessary.

ii. Class Environmental Assessment (EA) Process

The *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects* (Class EA) provides a consistent, streamlined, easily understood process for planning and implementing flood and erosion control projects. The process that is implemented through approval of the Class EA ensures that the intent of the *Environmental Assessment Act* is met by providing for the identification of issues and concerns, and the preferred means of addressing them, with due regard to environmental management, protection, and mitigation measures. The process also provides the flexibility to be tailored to the activity, taking into account the environmental setting, public interest, and unique situation requirements. Projects to address flood and erosion problems have:

- 1) common processes in terms of planning, design, approval, construction, operation and monitoring; and,
- 2) generally predictable range of effects.

Considering the above, the Class EA approach is considered a suitable means for planning of remedial flood and erosion control projects.

iii. Definition of Undertakings for the Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects

Remedial flood and erosion control projects refer to those projects undertaken by Conservation Authorities in previously developed areas, which are required to protect human life and property from impending flood or erosion problems. Such projects do not include works that facilitate or anticipate future non-passive development.

Projects under this Class EA are grouped under four problem situations. These problem situations are:

- 1) riverine flooding;
- 2) riverine and valley slope erosion;
- 3) shoreline flooding; and,
- 4) shoreline erosion.

Major flood and erosion control undertakings that do not suit this definition, such as projects that facilitate future non-passive development, lie outside the limits of this Class EA and require an Individual Environmental Assessment. It is recognized that, given the Province's current proposals to modernize the provincial EA program (namely, "*Moving to a project list approach under the Environmental Assessment Act*" [ERO#019-4219]), a future project list regulation under the EA Act will further refine which activities require an Individual (Comprehensive) EA.

iv. Objective of Five Year Review Report

Every five years from the date of the Notice of Approval, Conservation Ontario (CO) conducts a review of the Class EA to ensure that it is still compliant with legislative requirements and planning practices, and continues to satisfy the purpose of the *Environmental Assessment Act*.

The Five Year Review Report is prepared in a format that is similar to and combines the Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report (Annual Report) in every fifth year. This Five Year Review Report synthesizes the information reported in the previous Annual Reports for 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, and includes the Annual Report for 2021. Therefore, this Five Year Review Report addresses those projects initiated, planned, and/or implemented under the 2002 (last amended in 2013) Class EA from December 2016 up to December 2021.

Given the proposed major amendment to the Conservation Ontario Class EA (under review with the Ministry at the time this five-year review was prepared), all Conservation Authorities were notified that no additional amendments were planned to be brought forward for consideration as part of this review. More details on this are offered in Section 4.

In addition, this report also contains the results of completed 'Proponent CA Evaluation Forms' (Appendix D) and identification of any common issues/ deficiencies experienced that suggest the need for an amendment to the Class EA, including changes to proponents' practices and procedures that would serve to improve the Class EA itself or its administration.

The Annual Report fulfills the requirements to review and monitor the effectiveness of the Class EA process to ensure sound environmental planning and to ensure that the Class EA remains current and relevant. The Five Year Review Report assesses the effectiveness of the Class EA planning and design process in addressing such things as, but not limited to, the protection of the environment and participation in the process. This assessment includes a determination of the:

• number and types of projects initiated, planned and/or implemented in accordance with the Class EA;

- number of Part II Orders requested and their outcomes;
- problems experienced at the Class EA project level in implementing the process; and,
- degree of effectiveness of the Class EA planning and design process.

v. Methodology of Information Collection

Information on those projects initiated, planned and/or implemented in accordance to the Class EA from December 2016 – December 2021 was compiled by Conservation Ontario for each of the 36 Conservation Authorities in Ontario. Information was collected through an Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report Survey (see Appendix A for the survey results from 2021), which was implemented on an annual basis from 2017-2021. The key components of this survey address:

- 1) project details (e.g., year project initiated, status of project, notice stage, document level, and Part II Order requests and outcomes); and,
- 2) problems, changes or actions that need to be addressed with respect to the effectiveness of the Class EA planning and design process.

Problems, changes or actions needed with respect to the Class EA process are based on issues identified by proponent Conservation Authorities directly to Conservation Ontario through the "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" (see example in Appendix B), and/or through a Community Liaison Committee Report (see example in Appendix C), both of which are part of the reporting process within the Class EA process. While information on effectiveness is generated from the sources listed above, it is also to be summarized in each Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report for the purposes of this report.

vi. Structure of Report

This Five Year Review Report is divided into five remaining sections.

Section 2 focuses on projects undertaken within the Class EA. Section 2 first provides a summary of the total number of projects reported, as well as the number and types of projects initiated, planned or implemented under the Class EA. The second part of Section 2 addresses the effectiveness of the Class EA planning and design process, based on implementation concerns or improvements raised by proponent Conservation Authorities.

Section 3 of this Report addresses those projects for which Part II Orders were requested. The first part of this section summarizes the number and percentage of Part II Orders requested and the outcome of these requests. The second part of Section 3 addresses the effectiveness of the Class EA planning and design process with respect to Part II Order requests, based on concerns or improvements raised by proponent Conservation Authorities.

Section 4 of this report provides an overview of the proposed amendments for the Class EA which are currently under review and consideration with the Ministry. A detailed table of these proposed amendments has also been included in Appendix G.

Section 5 of this Report provides a compliance statement for the Class EA. This section addresses any terms and conditions in the *Environmental Assessment Act* Notice of Approval for

the Class EA (Appendix E), "Notices of Amendments" issued by the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, and compliance statements made by proponent Conservation Authorities through the Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form (see example in Appendix B).

2. Summary of Class Environmental Assessments

i. Summary of Class Undertakings from December 2016 – December 2021

Information with regard to those projects that have been initiated, planned and/or implemented in accordance with the *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects* was compiled through an Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report Survey completed by proponent Conservation Authorities (Appendix A).

In total, Conservation Authorities reported on 56 Class EA projects between December 2016 and December 2021. 47 of these projects were reported as having been initiated, planned, or implemented between December 2016 and December 2021 (with 3 projects reported as inactive and 6 reported as cancelled). Current projects that were initiated under the 1993 Class EA process are being reported for tracking purposes. A summary of all reported projects is provided in Table 1 and an overall listing of the 2021 survey results for all 36 Conservation Authorities is provided in Appendix A.

Of the 56 reported projects, 3 projects were reported as inactive during the December 2016 – December 2021 period: Stoney Creek and Battlefield Creek Flood and Erosion Control (Hamilton CA), 6 – 22 Northover Street Slope Stabilization Works (Toronto and Region CA) and, East Don River (Behind 30 Northline Road) Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project (Toronto and Region CA).

The 56 reported Class EA projects fall into the following project types:

- Riverine Flooding: 15¹
- Riverine Erosion: 22²
- Both Riverine Flooding and Riverine Erosion: 10³
- Shoreline Erosion: 8⁴
- Shoreline Flooding: 0⁵
- Both Shoreline Flood and Shoreline Erosion: 1⁶

Projects were also completed under various documentation levels. Project Plans are prepared for remedial work for which it has been demonstrated that there are no negative impacts or outstanding concerns held by the Conservation Authority or reviewers. Environmental Study Reports are prepared for projects for which it has been demonstrated that negative impacts will occur, and trade-offs must be made in choosing among alternative methods of carrying out the proposed remedial work. Emergency Reports are prepared for projects when a natural disaster occurs and necessary remedial measures are undertaken immediately. Of the 56 Class EA projects reported on from December 2016 – December 2021:

- 35 are being completed as Project Plans;
- 10 are being completed as Environmental Study Reports;

¹ None of these projects were reported to be inactive

² Two of these projects were reported to be inactive

³ One of these projects was reported to be inactive

⁴ None of these projects were reported to be inactive

⁵ None of these projects were reported to be inactive

⁶ None of these projects were reported to be inactive

- 5 are proceeding as an addendum;
- 5 are being completed as Emergency Works Reports; and,
- 1 projects had not yet reached a stage which the documentation level is determined.

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
Ausable Bayfield	Geoff Cade, Water and Planning Manager	no projects							
Cataraqui Region	Andrew Schmidt, Supervisor, Development Review	no projects							
Catfish Creek	Dusty Underhill, Acting General Manager/Secretary- Treasurer	no projects							
Central Lake Ontario	Perry Sisson, Director of Environmental and Engineering Services	Bowmanville Creek Restoration Project	Vanstone Mill, Bowmanville	RE	2002	n/a	Canc	F, July 2006	ESR

Table 1: Class Environmental Assessment Projects for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control from December 2016 up to December 2021.

⁷ Current projects that were initiated under the 1993 Class EA process are being reported for tracking purposes. If construction of a project has not been initiated within five years of the approval of the 2002 Class EA, then the project must be reinitiated in accordance to the 2002 Class EA planning and design process.

⁸ Terminology and public notification requirements differ for the 1993 Class EA process. Status of 1993 projects are reported in the "Status of Project" column with explanatory notes.

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
	Karen Chisholme, Senior Coordinator Aquatic Restoration	Lornewood Creek Restoration through Richard's Memorial Park Credit Valley Conservation	Richard's Memorial Park, Mississauga	RF	2018	n/a	Canc	I:Feb 15, 2018	PP
	Laura Rundle, Conservation Lands Planner, Corporate Services	Belfountain Conservation Area Dam and Headpond Class EA	Belfountain Conservation Area (West Credit River) Caledon ON	Dam does not meet safety standards (RF)	2015	n/a	А	I: May 7, 2015 F: February 2017 A: October 2017	ESR
Crowe Valley	Tim Pidduck, General Manager	no projects							
Essex Region	James Bryant, Director of Watershed Management Services	no projects							
Ganaraska Region	Cory Harris, Watershed Services Coordinator	no projects							

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
	James Etienne, Snr. Water Resource Engineer	Drimmie Dam Class Environmental Assessment	Grand River in the Village of Elora	RF	2009	n/a	А	I, July 2009 F, February 2010 A, March 22, 2010	РР
Grand River	Naomi Moore, Water Resources Project Coordinator	Schneider Creek Remediation Class Environmental Assessment Addendum	Schneider Creek in the City of Kitchener (Hayward Avenue to Manitou Drive)	RF, RE	20119	n/a	А	ADD, March 30, 2012 A, May 10, 2012	ADD
Grey Sauble	John Bittorf, Water Resources Coordinator	no projects							
Halton Region	Janelle Weppler, Associate Director, Engineering	Kelso Dam, Seismic upgrade Design for Intake Structure and Retaining Walls and for Concrete Spillway	Sixteen Mile Creek in the Town of Milton	RF	2011	n/a	Canc	I, September 30, 2011	Unknown yet, EA process on hold until additional studies are completed

⁹ Based on MOECC direction, this project proceeded as an addendum to the original 1995 project and was completed in accordance with the 1993 Class EA document

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
	Glenn Farmer, Manager, Flood Forecasting and Operations	Hilton Falls Dam, diversion structure	Sixteen Mile Creek	RF& RE	2009	n/a	С	I, February 6, 2014 F, March 2, 2015 A, November 20, 2015 C, November 30, 2021	PP
	Jonathan Bastien,	Lower Spencer Creek Integrated Subwatershed Study	Lower Spencer Creek, Community of Dundas, Hamilton	RE & RF	2012	n/a	А	I, August 10, 2012	РР
	Engineering	Stoney Creek and Battlefield Creek Flood and Erosion Control	Stoney Creek and Battlefield Creek, Community of Stoney Creek	RE & RF	2009	n/a	IA	I, October 23, 2009	РР
Hamilton	Scott Peck, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer / Director, Watershed Planning	Flood and Erosion Control Project for Upper Battlefield Creek and Stoney Creek	Upper Battlefield Creek and Stoney Creek Community of Stoney Creek, City of Hamilton	RF & RE	2017	n/a	А	F, Spring 2018	PP
	watersned Planning and Engineering	Class EA Flood Remediation Project – Watercourse	Fifty Point Conservation Area	RF	2018	n/a	А	F, March 2019	РР

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
		11, Fifty Point Conservation Area	Community of Stoney Creek, City of Hamilton						
Kawartha	Mark Majchrowski, Chief Administrative Officer	no projects							
Kettle Creek	Elizabeth VanHooren, General Manager/Secretary Treasurer	no projects							
Lake Simcoe Region	Kenneth Cheney, Acting Director, Engineering	Alcona Flood Relief Project	Alcona – Town of Innisfil	RF	2019	n/a	А	I, September 2019 F, April 2020	ESR
Lakehead Region	Tammy Cook, Chief Administrative Officer	no projects							
Long Point Region	Ben Hodi, Watershed Services Manager	no projects							
Lower Thames	Valerie Towsley, Resource Technician	no projects							

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
Lower Trent	Anne Anderson, Watershed Management Coordinator	no projects							
Maitland Valley	Patrick Huber- Kidby, Planning and Regulations Supervisor	no projects							
Mattagami Region	David Vallier, General Manager	no projects							
Mississippi	Juraj Cunderlik, Director, Water	Shabomeka Lake Dam Class Environmental Assessment	Lot 23, Concession XII, Barrie Ward , Township of North Frontenac	RF	2017		А	I, July 27, 2017 F, November 15, 2018 A, January 28, 2020	рр
Mississippi Valley	Resources Engineering	Carp River Erosion Control Project Class Environmental Assessment	City of Ottawa (Kanata)	RE	2017		А	I, October 5, 2017 F, October 15, 2018 ADD, March 12, 2021 A, July 15, 2021	РР

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
Niagara Peninsula	Leilani Lee-Yates, Director, Watershed Management	no projects							
Nickel District	Carl Jorgensen, General Manager	no projects							
North Bay- Mattawa	Kurtis Romanchuk, Water Resources Engineer	Chippewa Creek Channel Repair at Oak Street	City of North Bay	RF, RE	2018	n/a	А	F, May 27, 2019 A, June 26, 2019	ESR
Nottawasaga Valley	Mark Hartley, Senior Engineer	no projects							
Otonabee	Gordon Earle, Water Resources Technologist	Millbrook Dam	Millbrook Dam located on Baxter Creek in the Millbrook Ward of the municipality of Cavan- Monaghan in the County of Peterborough	RF	2012	n/a	A	I, June 7, 2012 F, October 3, 2013 ADD, January 20, 2016 A, February 25, 2016	ADD-ESR

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
Quinte	Christine McClure, Water Resources Manager	no projects							
Raisin Region	Richard Pilon, General Manager	no projects							
Rideau Valley	Terry Davidson, Director of Regulations	Britannia Village Flood Control	Ottawa River waterfront properties between Rowatt St. and Salina St., City of Ottawa	RF	2008	n/a	С	I, January 2009 F, May 7, 2014 A, July 31, 2014	РР
Saugeen Valley	Jennifer Stephens, General Manager	no projects							
Sault Ste Marie Region	Christine Ropeter, Assistant Manager	no projects							
South Nation	Sandra Mancini, Team Lead, Engineering	no projects							

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
	Girish Sankar, Director of Water Resources	Clearwater (Sarnia) Erosion Control Project Addendum	Lake Huron Shoreline in Brights Grove, Sarnia	SE	1993	1993	С	n/a	ESR
St. Clair		Mission Park (Former CN Lands) Shore Protection Revitalization	Sarnia Bay beginning at Ferry Dock Hill and stretching 400 meters south, Sarnia	SE	2007	n/a	А	F, August 2008	РР
	Nikki Cordy, Project Manager	Erosion Control Project near 70 Main Street South	Adjacent to Rouge River, downstream of the Milne Dam Conservation Area	RE	2015	n/a	A – Detailed design complete; implementation scheduled for spring 2022	I, May 7, 2015 F, August 17, 2019 A, October 17, 2019	РР
Toronto and Region	Rehana Rajabali, Senior Engineer – Flood Risk and Communications	Managing Flood Risk in the Black Creek	Black Creek, from Scarlett Road to Weston Rd.	RF	2009	n/a	А	I, June 5, 2009 F, September 11, 2014	РР
	Lisa Turnbull, Senior Manager – Project Management Office	Ashbridges Bay Erosion and Sediment Control Project	Entrance of the Coatsworth Cut navigation channel	SE	1999, reinitiated under 2002 in 2013	n/a	A – Detailed Design Completed; Securement of Permits Completed; Construction	I, August 2009 I, May 2, 2013 F, December 18, 2014	ESR

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
							Initiated in January 2020		
	Matt Johnston, Project Manager	Manitoba Street to Beaverdale Road Erosion Control Project	West side of Mimico Creek from Manitoba Street to Beaverdale Road, Toronto	RE	2004	n/a	Canc	I, September 2004 ¹⁰	РР
	Jet Taylor, Project Manager I	Fishleigh Drive Erosion Control Project (Addendum)	Below 81 and 83 Fishleigh Drive, Toronto	SE	198811	n/a	А	I, August 28, 2015 ADD, October 7, 2015	ADD-ESR
	Matt Johnston, Project Manager	6 – 22 Northover Street Slope Stabilization Works	Downsview Dells, 6 – 22 Northover Street, Toronto	RE	2014	n/a	ΙΑ	I, April 10, 2014	РР
	Matt Johnston, Project Manager	#30-48 Royal Rouge Trail Class Environmental Assessment	#30-48 Royal Rouge Trail	RE	2009	n/a	А	I, April 24, 2009 F, September 14, 2011 A, January 2012	РР

 ¹⁰ This project was suspended in November 2007 due to concerns regarding the cost to implement it. The project objectives and approach are currently under review.
 ¹¹ Current project being undertaken as an addendum to the originally approved ESR. This addendum is in compliance with Section 3.8 of the Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects (2002 – Amended 2013).

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
	Ilona Lehtokoski Project Manager & Courtney Rennie, Project Manager	Humber River between Cruickshank park and 1025 Scarlett Road, City of Toronto – Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	1025 Scarlett Road and Cruickshank Park, northeast of the intersection of Lawrence Avenue West and Weston Road, Toronto	RE	2015	n/a	C – Construction of Phase 1 (lower slope) completed in fall 2017. Phase 2 (upper slope) works were implemented in fall 2019.	I, September 23, 2015 F, March 24, 2016 A, September 30, 2016 C, January 29, 2020	РР
	Divya Sasi Project Manager	West Don River in E.T. Seton Park Major Maintenance Project	E.T. Seton Park, City of Toronto	RE	2020	n/a	A – Assessment and evaluation of potential alternatives through 2021. Detailed design in 2022.	I – November 2020	PP – To be completed in 2021
	Phil Wolfraim, Project Manager	219 – 226 Roslin Avenue Slope Stabilization Project	219 – 226 Roslin Avenue, City of Toronto	RE	2019	n/a	Canc	I – February 2019 Canc – November 2021	РР
	Nivedha Sundararajah, Project Manager	Azalea Court Slope Stability and Erosion Risk Assessment	6-20 Azalea Court, City of Toronto	RE	2019	n/a	A – Erosion risk assessment and development of concept alternatives	I – August 2019	РР

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
		Denison Road Upper Slope Stabilization Project	48 – 66 Denison Road West, City of Toronto	RE	2019	n/a	A – Erosion risk assessment and development of concept alternatives	I – April 2019	РР
		Black Creek Between 111 Whitburn Crescent and 2 Jennifer Court, City of Toronto - Erosion Damage Restoration Project	Downsview Dells Park, Black Creek, including 2 and 4 Jennifer Court, 139 Whitburn Crescent, 111/117 Whitburn Crescent and 135 – 137 Whitburn Crescent, Toronto	RE	2014	n/a	С	I, May 8, 2014 Declaration of Emergency Works, July 21, 2014	EMR
	Jaya Soora Project Manager	19-31 Ridge Point Crescent Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	19-31 Ridge Point Crescent, City of Toronto	RE	2018	n/a	A – Secure permits and approvals for implementation. Implementation year TBD.	I – February 8, 2018 F – December 19, 2019 A – February 14, 2020	РР

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
		21, 23, and 25 Peacham Crescent Slope Stabilization Project	21 – 25 Peacham Crescent, City of Toronto	RE	2018	n/a	A – Construction underway	I – November 22, 2018 F – September 10, 2019 A – February 14, 2020	РР
		Mimico Creek behind 19-25 Ridgegate Crescent Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	19-25 Ridgegate Crescent, City of Toronto	RE/RF	2017	n/a	C – April 2020	I, December 14, 2017 F – October 1, 2019 A – November 20, 2019 C – May 19, 2020	РР
		East Don River behind 30 Northline Road, City of Toronto – Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	Eglinton Avenue East and Don Valley Parkway, Toronto	RE	2015	n/a	IA	I, October 29, 2015	РР
		Eldorado Court Slope Stabilization	Finch Avenue and Sheppard Avenue West	RE	2018	n/a	A – Evaluate alternative solutions in 2022.	I, December 6, 2018	РР

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
		Project, City of Toronto							
		Mimico Creek behind 2 Kevi Lane Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	Martin Grove Road and Rathburn Road	RE	2018	n/a	A – Evaluate alternative solutions in 2022.	I – December 13, 2018	РР
		Topcliff Avenue Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	31 – 43 Topcliff Avenue	RE/RF	2020	n/a	A – Develop detailed designs in 2022.	I – February 13, 2020	РР
		Yellow Creek near Heath Street East Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization project	St. Clair Avenue East and Yonge Street	RE/RF	2018	n/a	A – File PP report in 2022.	I – June 8,2018	РР

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
		30, 32, 36 Rose Park Crescent Slope Stabilization Project, City of Toronto	St Clair Avenue East and Yonge Street	RF	2018	n/a	C – Remedial works substantially completed on November 1, 2020	Declaration of Emergency Works, November 19, 2018	EMR
	Ashour Rehana, Manager, Capital & Special Projects	Yellow Creek Below Summerhill Gardens Emergency Works	St Clair Avenue East and Yonge Street	RE	2019	n/a	C – Remedial works substantially completed in May 2020	Declaration of Emergency Works, July 31, 2019	EMR
	Jaya Soora Project Manager	Berry Creek Behind Norfield Crescent, City of Toronto	22- 32 Norfield Crescent, Toronto	RE/RF	2014	n/a	C– Emergency works complete and EMR submitted to CO. Risk to essential structures in Phase 2 to be confirmed in 2018	I, May 15, 2014 Declaration of Emergency Works, August 1, 2014 EMR, July 4, 2015	EMR
	Meg St John Project Manager II	Gibraltar Point Erosion Control Project	Gibraltar Point Sector of the Toronto Islands, Toronto	SE	2004	n/a	A – Addendum phase under Section 6.0 of the Class EA For Remedial Flood and Erosion control	A, March 2008 ADD - I, August 18, 2016	ESR

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
							Projects, for projects that have not begun construction within 5 years of approval.		
		Gibraltar Point Erosion Control Project, Addendum	Toronto Islands, between Hanlan's Beach and Gibraltar Point	SE	2016		A	F, March 22, 2018 A, June 22, 2018	ADD
		Lower Don River West Remedial Flood Protection Project	Lower Don River, south of Queen St., Toronto	RF	2003	n/a	A - Nearing completion (FPL- Completed, As- built Drawings submitted to TRCA from IO) Don River Bridge done in 2007- Enbridge initiating EA to remove infrastructure off – utility bridge in order to remove bridge (2017) –	A, October 2005	ESR

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
							DMNP EA to supersede east banks works south of CN railways)		
	Jet Taylor Project Manager	Bluffer's Park Southwest Headland and Beach Major Maintenance Project Class EA	Lake Ontario shoreline at Bluffers Park South of the intersection of Kingston Road and Brimley Road, Toronto	SE	2017	n/a	А	I, October 26, 2017 F – May 3, 2018	РР
		Guildwood Parkway Erosion Control Project (Addendum)	Below 441-449 Guildwood Parkway, Toronto	SE	1988 ¹²	n/a	Canc	I, August 27, 2015	ADD-ESR
	Jet Taylor Project Manager Rebecca Salvatore Project Manager	Toronto Island Park Flood and Erosion Mitigation Project	Toronto Island	SE SF	2020	n/a	A	I, September 30, 2021 Declaration of Emergency Works, February 19, 2020	ESR

¹² Current project being undertaken as an addendum to the originally approved ESR. This addendum is in compliance with Section 3.8 of the Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects (2002 – Amended 2013).

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
	Nivedha Sundararajah, Project Manager	Humber River Between 1 Katrine Road and 53 Riverhead Drive, City of Toronto – Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Works	1 Katrine Road – 53 Riverhead Drive, Toronto	RE	2014	n/a	С	I, May 15, 2014 Declaration of Emergency works, August 20, 2014	EMR
	Courtney Rennie,	East Don River behind Clarinda Drive Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	73 – 95 Clarinda Drive , Toronto	RE	March 5, 2020	n/a	A – Filing of EA PP and development of detailed designs in 2022	I, March 5, 2020	РР
	Senior Project Manager	Black Creek Tributary behind Appletree Court and Seeley Drive Erosion Control and Slope	Sheppard Avenue West and Keele Street, Toronto	RE	2015	n/a	С	C, July 23, 2020	РР

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
		Stabilization Project (Phase 1)							
		Black Creek Tributary behind Appletree Court and Seeley Drive Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project (Phase 2)	Sheppard Avenue West and Keele Street, Toronto	RE	2019	n/a	A – Filing of EA PP and development of detailed designs in 2022	I, April 4, 2019	РР
	Melody Brown, Project Manager	Pickering and Ajax Dykes Rehabilitation Project	City of Pickering and Town of Ajax (Duffins Creek watershed), between Church St S and Brock Road, north of Hwy 401, south of Delaney Dr	RF	2019	n/a	А	I, August 8, 2019 F, August 27, 2020 A, November 18, 2020	ESR

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{7&8}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project • Active = A • Inactive = IA • Complete = C • Cancelled = Canc	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD
	Chris Tasker,	Harrington Dam EA	Community of Harrington, Harrington Creek	RF (Mill Dam)	2014	n/a	А	I, June 12, 2015	PP - expected in 2023
Upper Thames	Manager, Water and Information Management	Embro Dam EA	Near Community of Embro, north of on Youngsville Drain	RF (Conservation Area Pond)	2014	n/a	A	I, June 12, 2015	PP- expected in 2023

ii. Effectiveness of the Class Environmental Assessment Planning and Design Process

Conservation Ontario evaluated the effectiveness of the planning and design process of the *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects* based on problems, concerns and/or issues raised by proponent Conservation Authorities. Problems, concerns and/or issues may be expressed: 1) directly to Conservation Ontario; 2) through a "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" (see example in Appendix B); and/or 3) through a "Community Liaison Committee Report" (see example in Appendix C).

The "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" provides a summary of the proponent Conservation Authority's satisfaction with the various stages of the Class EA planning and design process. In accordance with Section 3.7.2 and 3.9.2 of the Class EA document, the Evaluation Form is to be completed and submitted to Conservation Ontario twice during the Class EA process. Part A of the "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" is to be submitted within 30 days of the project's "Notice of Project Approval"¹³. Part B of the "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" is to be submitted within 30 days of the project's "Notice of Project".

In an effort to facilitate more on-going public involvement, Conservation Authorities may establish a Community Liaison Committee to assist in the gathering of additional public input, to review information, and to provide input to the Conservation Authority throughout the Class EA process. A Community Liaison Committee Report provides a summary of the public's satisfaction with the various stages of the Class EA planning and design process. It should be noted that the formation of such a committee is an option to the Conservation Authority and is not a requirement under the Class EA document. A "Community Liaison Committee Report" may be submitted following the Notice of Project Completion.

Both the "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" and "Community Liaison Committee Report" provide an opportunity to rate the various stages of the Class EA process based on a satisfaction level of 1 to 5 (1 being least satisfied and 5 being most satisfied). Fifteen "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form: Part A" and six "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form: Part B" were completed for projects approved and completed, respectively, since the 2011-2016 Five Year Review Report. Table 2 provides a summary of the average Conservation Authority satisfaction levels with respect to the various stages of the Class EA planning and design process. A full listing of evaluation results are found in Appendix D. As indicated in Table 2, on average a high level of satisfaction (i.e., ratings greater than 3) was reported for all applicable stages of the Class EA planning and design process from December 2016 – December 2021.

No "Community Liaison Committee Reports" were completed between December 2016 – December 2021. Table 3 provides an example of how a summary of this information may be presented in future Annual Reports or Five Year Review Reports.

¹³ A "Notice of Project Approval" is to be sent when the planning and design process has been completed and the project is ready for construction (as described in Appendix E of the Class EA document).

¹⁴ A "Notice of Project Completion" is to be sent when the project has completed construction (as described in Appendix E of the Class EA document).

Additional written statements clarifying and explaining those stages of the Class EA process that received an unsatisfactory rating (i.e., 2 or less) on the "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" and/or the "Community Liaison Committee Report" must also be provided by Conservation Authorities. There was one element of the Class EA that received a ranking of 2 or less based on one project's "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" (see Table 4 below or Appendix D). Based on feedback received from the Conservation Authority, an amendment to the Class EA has been included as part of the proposed major amendments to the CO Class EA (currently under review with the MECP). For additional information, see Section 4 of this report.

As part of the Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Survey and Five Year Review Reports, Conservation Ontario consults with all Conservation Authorities on a regular basis to discuss concerns regarding the effectiveness of the Class EA. Further consultation took place with all Conservation Authorities in preparation of the proposed major amendments to the CO Class EA (currently under review with the Ministry). The proposed major amendments to the Class Environmental Assessment document also reflect those discussions (for more information, see section 4 of this report).

Table 2: Summary of Conservation Authority Satisfaction Level Ranking for Stages of the Class EAProcess Based on Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Forms for Projects from December 2016 – December 2021.

Stages of Class EA Process	Average Ranking
Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A*	(1= least satisfied to 5 = most satisfied)
Initiation of the Class EA Process	4.8
Examination of Environmental Planning & Design	13
Principles	4.3
Review of Selection of Preferred CA Program	4.7
Preparation of a Baseline Inventory	4.2
Evaluation of Alternative Methods for Carrying out	4.6
Remedial Project	4.0
Selection of Preferred Alternative Method	4.7
Detailed Environmental Analysis of the Preferred	15
Alternative Method	т.3
Selection of Documentation Level	4.4
Report Preparation (level of detail required)	4.3
Notification Requirements	4.4
Requests for Part II Orders (if applicable)	4.3
Amendment Process (if applicable)	4.7
Participation Levels (level of interest, ability to	12
resolve issues)	4.2
Class EA Effectiveness Monitoring (Conservation	
Ontario Annual Effects Monitoring Report, Five	4.3
Year Review Report)	
Stages of Class EA Process	Average Ranking
Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B**	(1= least satisfied to 5 = most satisfied)
Construction Monitoring	4.2
Amendment Process (if applicable)	4.3
Report Preparation (level of detail required)	4.7
Project Results (outcomes of the monitoring report;	15
issues successfully resolved)	т.5
Notification Requirements	4.5
Class EA Effectiveness Monitoring (Conservation	
Ontario Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report,	4.3
Five Year Review Report)	

*Based on fifteen project evaluations **Based on six project evaluations

 Table 3: Summary of the Public's Satisfaction Level Ranking for Stages of the Class EA Process

 Based on Findings from the Community Liaison Committee Report*.

Stages of Class EA Process	Average Ranking (1= least satisfied to 5 = most satisfied)			
Initiation of the Class EA Process	-			
Examination of Environmental Planning &				
Design Principles	-			
Review of Selection of Preferred CA				
Program	-			
Preparation of a Baseline Inventory	-			
Evaluation of Alternative Methods for				
Carrying out Remedial Project	_			
Selection of Preferred Alternative Method	-			
Detailed Environmental Analysis of the				
Preferred Alternative Method	_			
Selection of Documentation Level	-			
Report Preparation (level of detail required)	-			
Notification Requirements	-			
Participation Levels (level of interest, ability				
to resolve issues)	_			
Conservation Authority's Ability to	_			
Understand Concerns	_			
Conservation Authority's Accommodation of	_			
Concerns	_			
Provision of Sufficient Education				
Opportunities to Increase Your Level of	-			
Understanding				
Project Results	-			

*Note: A Community Liaison Committee Report may be submitted after a Notice of Project Completion

 Table 4: Issues and Outcomes of Unsatisfactory Ratings (i.e., 2 or less) for Stages of the Class EA

 Process Based on Findings from the Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form and/or

 Community Liaison Committee Report Received for Projects from December 2016 – December 2021.

Stage of 2002 Class EA Process (including Section number)	Issue	Outcome (e.g. Response, Solution, Recommended Amendment)	Timing of Response • Immediate response • Respond at time of 5 Year Review • Further review/analysis by Conservation Ontario
Section 3.4 Review of the Selection of Conservation Authority Program Options	CVC Belfountain Dam & <u>Headpond Class EA</u> Confusion at staff level as to whether the CO Class EA could be applied to this specific project.	NDMNRF staff indicated that the Resource Stewardship and Facility Development Projects Class EA may be more applicable. Ultimately, CVC and their consultant determined the CO Class EA was sufficient to address the project. CVC suggested that amendments to Table 1 in Section 1.2.4 to include project descriptions could be beneficial.	These comments were considered by Conservation Ontario is developing a suite of major amendments to the CO Class EA as part of a request by the EA Modernization Team from MECP. Table 1 is being updated through this process to more clearly demonstrate projects to which the CO Class EA applies, as well as exemptions from EA requirements for specific projects.

3. Part II Order Requests

i. Introduction

The Class EA planning and design process is one that allows for concerns to be identified and resolved through the course of the planning of a project. In some circumstances, however, it is possible that issues may be raised during public review of a project that cannot be easily accommodated. In cases where concerns are raised, it is the Conservation Authority's obligation, as proponent, to use all reasonable means available to them to resolve these concerns. In circumstances where individuals, groups, or public agencies feel that these efforts have not been made, they may seek to have the proposed undertaking made subject to a more rigorous planning, design and documentation procedure. Any individual, group or public agency may request the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks or delegate to issue a Part II Order within the public review period for a Project Plan, Environmental Study Report or an Addendum. The Part II Order is the legal mechanism whereby the status of a Class EA undertaking can be elevated from an undertaking within a Class EA to an Individual (Comprehensive) Environmental Assessment.

ii. Summary of Part II Order Requests as of December 2021

Those projects under the Class EA for which Part II Orders were requested are to be identified through the Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report Survey of proponent Conservation Authorities (Appendix A). Information obtained should include:

- why a Part II Order was requested;
- outcome of a Part II Order request;
- summary of conditions imposed on the project by the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks or delegate (if the Part II Order request was denied); and
- problems, changes, or actions to be considered as to the effectiveness of the Class EA, with respect to Part II Orders requests, in providing an effective and efficient planning process¹⁵.

Of the 56 reported Class EA projects, three projects had requests for a Part II Order. Information regarding the Belfountain Conservation Area Dam and Headpond Class EA, the Fishleigh Drive Erosion Control Project (Addendum), and the Lower Don River West Remedial Flood Protection Project is summarized in Table 5. The Part II Order Request for the Belfountain Conservation Area Dam and Headpond Class EA was Granted with Conditions, the Fishleigh Drive Erosion Control Project (Addendum) was Denied, and the Lower Don River West Remedial Flood Protection Project (Addendum) was Denied, and the Lower Don River West Remedial Flood Protection Project was Denied with Conditions.

¹⁵ An evaluation of problems, changes, or actions to be considered as to the effectiveness of the Class EA planning process, with respect to Part II Order requests, is undertaken within the Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form (see example in Appendix B).

Conservation Authority	Name of Project	Location of Project	Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE	Year Project Initiated	Part II Order Request Yes = Y No = N	Comments on Part II Order Request	Outcome of Part II Order Requests Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC Pending = P	If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions," summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's Denial
Credit Valley Conservation	Belfountain Conservation Area Dam and Headpond Class EA	Belfountain Conservation Area (West Credit River) Caledon ON	RF	2015	Y	 Project will destroy cultural heritage dam and headpond CVC has not properly considered the cultural heritage of the site and is unable to make an unbiased decision Cultural preservation measures provided by the OHA, PPS and NEPDA were ignored 	G: Granted with conditions 1) In response to concerns with Cultural Heritage (member of public) – no conditions imposed 2) In response to concerns identified by NDMNRF staff (concerns formally identified; Part II Order <u>not</u> submitted) - conditions included further consultation during the detailed design process.	n/a
Toronto and Region	Lower Don River West Remedial	Lower Don River, south	RF	2003	Y		DWC	All commitments made to affected parties must be
	Flood Protection Project	of Queen St., Toronto						fulfilled according to Class EA
-----------------------	---	--	----	--------------------	---	--	-------------------	------------------------------------
Toronto and Region	Fishleigh Drive Erosion Control Project (Addendum)	Below 81 and 83 Fishleigh Drive, Toronto	SE	1988 ¹⁶	Y	General request by Haudenosaunee Development Institute (HDI). MECP has requested (of HDI) specific concerns pertaining to this project by December 14, 2015.	D (April 7, 2016)	n/a

¹⁶ Current project being undertaken as an addendum to the originally approved ESR. This addendum is in compliance with Section 3.8 of the *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects* (2002 – Amended 2013).

iii. Effectiveness of the Class Environmental Assessment Planning and Design Process with Respect to Part II Order Requests

The effectiveness of the *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects* planning and design process, with respect to Part II Order requests, is to be evaluated based on problems, concerns and/or issues raised by proponent Conservation Authorities. Problems, concerns and/or issues may be expressed directly to Conservation Ontario and/or through the "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" (see example in Appendix B).

Fifteen "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Forms" (Part A) and six "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Forms" (Part B) have been completed for Class EA projects since the 2011-2016 Five Year Review Report. None of the Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluations Forms identified any additional requested amendments related to Part II Orders.

4. Proposed Amendments

Under normal circumstances, the Five-Year Review report provides an opportunity for Conservation Ontario to review Conservation Authority comments submitted through the Annual Effectiveness Monitoring process and Proponent CA Evaluation Forms, and prepare, consult on and submit any proposed amendments to the CO Class EA document to the MECP. This process ensures that the Class EA remains current and any common process inefficiencies and/or other identified problems can be addressed through potential amendments to the Class EA document.

Since August 2019, Conservation Ontario has been working with the MECP to develop a set of major amendments to the CO Class EA which support the implementation of a modernized EA program by identifying amendments which focus on aligning the level of assessment with the environmental risk of a project, as well as avoiding duplication in the Class EA process. Conservation Ontario shared a set of proposed amendments with all Conservation Authorities in August 2019, requesting their review, comments, and if applicable, any additional proposed amendments to be included in the final proposal submitted to the MECP.

Conservation Ontario's final proposed amendments were available for public consultation through the Environmental Registry from July 8 – August 22, 2020. Following posting, Ministry staff were notified by Conservation Ontario that an outdated table had been uploaded during the consultation period. Through discussions between the MECP and Conservation Ontario, it was decided that the posting would not be updated, but rather, MECP would work with CO to ensure the correct amendments would ultimately be put forward for Minister's approval. A copy of the most current table of proposed amendments can be found in Appendix G of this report. Given that these proposed amendments remain under review with the MECP, Conservation Ontario is not proposing any further major amendments to the CO Class EA at this time. All Conservation Authorities have been notified that CO had no plans to canvas for additional amendments until the current set of amendments is finalized and approved, and no objections on this approach were raised. Once the current proposed amendments are finalized, Conservation Ontario will work with the Ministry to complete an updated Class EA document, and ensure all Conservation Authorities are aware of the changes by distributing the document and making it available on the Conservation Ontario public website. Conservation Ontario recognizes that further amendments to the CO Class EA document may be required to facilitate other Ministry-led EA modernization activities (e.g., the move to a "Project List Approach" and potential streamlined EA regulations under the Environmental Assessment Act).

5. Compliance Statement

As required under Section 10.0 of the *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects* document, the following section provides a compliance statement for the Class EA. First, this section addresses terms and conditions in the *Environmental Assessment Act* "Notice of Approval" for the Class EA. Second, this section addresses any "Notices of Amendment" issued by the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks and, finally, it provides a summary of statements of compliance made by the Conservation Authorities in the "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" (see example in Appendix B).

i. Terms and Conditions Under the "Notice of Approval of the Class EA"

The "Notice of Approval", issued by the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks on June 26, 2002, can be seen in Appendix E. Table 6 lists the key terms and conditions stipulated in the "Notice of Approval" and provide a summary of how they have been fulfilled.

ii. Notice of Amendments Issued by the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks

On July 14, 2009 the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks issued a Notice of Amendments for the *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects* (Class EA).

On June 10, 2013, the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks issued a Notice of Approval of Amendments for the *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects* (Class EA).

Terms and Conditions	Compliance
Clause 2. This Class EA replaces the Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Project, approved pursuant to Order-in-Council no. 280/93, and extended by Order-in-Council no. 1706/98 and Order-in-Council no. 1061/00 under the <i>Environmental Assessment Act</i> .	Acknowledged
Clause 3. The proponent Conservation Authority shall comply with all the provisions of the Class EA submitted to the ministry which are hereby incorporated in this approval by reference except as provided in these conditions and as provided in any other approvals or permits that may be issued.	Acknowledged
Clause 4. These conditions do not prevent more restrictive conditions being imposed under other statutes.	Acknowledged
Clause 5. Where a document is required for the Public Record, the proponent Conservation Authority shall provide the document to the Director for filing within the specific Public Record file maintained for the undertaking. The proponent Conservation Authority shall also provide copies of the document for the purpose of public review to: (a) the Director of the MOEE Eastern Regional Office; (b) the Director of the MOEE Central Regional Office; (c) the Director of the MOEE West Central Regional Office; (d) the Director of the MOEE Southwestern Regional Office; and (e) the Director of the MOEE Northern Regional Office.	Completed October 17, 2002

Table 6: Compliance to Terms and Conditions Made in the Notice of Approval for the Class EA for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects

These documents may also be provided through other means as considered appropriate by the proponent Conservation Authority. Thirty (30) copies of the final	
and for use by ministry staff (including each Regional and District Office).	
Clause 6. The five year review of the Class EA, as referred to in Section 11.1 of the Class EA	Acknowledged
shall be undertaken and submitted on January 31 of the fifth year following the date of approval, and every five years thereafter, until such time as is otherwise indicated in writing by the Director to the proponent Conservation Authority. An executive summary shall be included in each review. The five year review shall also be placed on the Public Record.	Completed January 25, 2022 (2021 Five Year Review Report)
Clause 7. The proponent Conservation Authority shall carry out the effectiveness monitoring and reporting program referred to in Section 10 of the Class EA. The annual report required by the program shall be submitted to the Director for placement on the Public Record.	Acknowledged Completed January 25, 2022 (2021 Five Year Review Report)
 Clause 8.1 The amending procedure for modifying this Class EA referred to in Section 11.0 of the Class EA may be used by the proponent Conservation Authority until: (a) a regulation is made by the Lieutenant Governor in Council prescribing rules and restrictions under subsection 11.4(4) of the Environmental Assessment Act for amending or revoking decisions which apply to this Class EA, and (b) the Minister of Environment and Energy has issued a notice to Conservation Ontario and filed a copy of it in the Public Record for this Class EA prescribing which of the procedures under the regulation shall apply in place of, or in addition to, the procedures set out in Section 11.0 and which procedures in Section 11.0 shall cease to apply. 	Acknowledged; <i>Note:</i> (a) and (b) have not occurred.
Clause 8.2 A notice under clause 8.1 (b) may prescribe transitional procedures for any amendments proposed before a date specified in the notice.	Acknowledged

iii. Statements of Compliance (Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form)

In accordance with Section 3.7.2 and 3.9.2 of the Class EA document, the proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form is to be completed twice during the Class EA process. An example can be seen in Appendix B. For projects initiated, planned, or implemented between December 2016 – December 2021, five CAs completed the Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form for sixteen different Class EA projects. Six projects reached the stage at which Part B of the evaluation form was required. Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Forms were mostly received within the 30 day time frame specified in Section 3.7.2 and 3.9.2 of the Class EA. This confirms that in general, proponent Conservation Authorities have complied with the Class EA planning and design process. A summary of the results of this Evaluation Form is discussed in Appendix D of this report.

iv. Summary

Conservation Ontario has complied with the terms and conditions of the "Notice of Approval" for the Class EA and a Notice of Amendments was issued by the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks in 2013.

6. Conclusions

This report provides a summary of those Class EA projects initiated, planned, and/or implemented from December 2016 – December 2021 and assesses the effectiveness of the *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects* planning and design process. Normally, potential amendments to the Class EA as identified through the five year review of the Class EA are also provided in this Five Year Review Report, however, due to the ongoing EA modernization efforts by the MECP, major amendments are currently under consideration for this Class EA and as such no additional potential amendments are identified in this report.

Annual surveys of proponent Conservation Authorities indicated that from December 2016 – December 2021:

- Conservation Authorities reported on 56 Class EA projects between December 2016 and December 2021, with a total of 47 projects reported as initiated, planned and/or implemented (3 projects reported as inactive and 6 reported as cancelled) (Table 1). Fifty-two of the 56 total reported projects will be using the 2002 Class EA process, one project was reinitiated under the 2002 Class EA process, two proceeded as an addendum to a project initiated under the 1993 Class EA but are in compliance with the 2002 version, and one project was initiated under the 1993 Class EA document, with construction continuing since 1998. Therefore, the project has not required re-initiation under the 2002 Class EA document.
- Three Part II Orders were requested. One was denied by the Minister, one was denied with conditions, and one was granted with conditions.
- Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Forms were completed in accordance with Section 3.7.2 and 3.9.2 of the Class EA document. Part A of the Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form was completed for fifteen projects (completed by four Conservation Authorities) and Part B of the evaluation form was completed for six projects (completed by two Conservation Authorities). On average, a high level of satisfaction was indicated for most stages of the Class EA planning and design process.
- From December 2016 December 2021, 11 projects were reported as complete.

In addition to the above, this Five Year Review Report confirms that all terms and conditions, stipulated in the "Notice of Approval" for the Class EA (Appendix E) have been fulfilled and no Notices of Amendments have been issued by the Minister of Environment, Conservation and Parks since the approval of the amended Conservation Ontario's Class EA document in June 2013.

Appendix A **Results of 2021 Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report Survey**

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{17&18}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD 	Part II Order Request • Yes = Y • No = N (Comments on Part II Order Request)	Outcome of Part II Order Request Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC (If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions", summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ • Completed = C, date • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ • Completed = C • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable) • Yes = Y • No = N (Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community Liaison Committee Report)
Ausable Bayfield	Geoff Cade, Water and Planning Manager	no projects												
Cataraqui Region	Andrew Schmidt, Supervisor, Development Review	no projects												
Catfish Creek	Dusty Underhill, Acting General Manager/Secretary- Treasurer	no projects												
Central Lake Ontario	Perry Sisson, Director of Environmental and Engineering Services	no projects												
Credit Valley	Sherwin Watson- Leung, Program Manager, Aquatic and Wetland Restoration and Management	Lornewood Creek Restoration through Richard's Memorial Park Credit Valley Conservation	Richard's Memorial Park, Mississauga	RF	2018	n/a	Canc	I:Feb 15, 2018	РР	N		N/A	Not required at this time	Not required at this time

 ¹⁷ Current projects that were initiated under the 1993 Class EA process are being reported for tracking purposes. If construction of a project has not been initiated within five years of the approval of the 2002 Class EA, then the project must be reinitiated in accordance to the 2002 Class EA planning and design process.
 ¹⁸ Terminology and public notification requirements differ for the 1993 Class EA process. Status of 1993 projects are reported in the "Status of Project" column with explanatory notes.
 ¹⁹ For projects falling under the 2002 Class EA, Part A of the "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" is to be submitted to Conservation Ontario within 30 days of the project's Notice of Approval.
 ²⁰ For projects falling under the 2002 Class EA, Part B of the "Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form" is to be submitted to Conservation Ontario within 30 days of the projects' Notice of Completion.

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{17&18}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD 	Part II Order Request • Yes = Y • No = N (Comments on Part II Order Request)	Outcome of Part II Order Request Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC (If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions", summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ Completed = C, date Not applicable = n/a Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ • Completed = C • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable) • Yes = Y • No = N (Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community Liaison Committee Report)
	Laura Rundle, Conservation Lands Planner, Corporate Services	Belfountain Conservation Area Dam and Headpond Class EA	Belfountain Conservation Area (West Credit River) Caledon ON	Dam does not meet safety standards (RF)	2015	n/a	A	I: May 7, 2015 F: February 2017 A: October 2017	ESR	Yes: concerns identified in the Part II Order include: 1) Project will destroy cultural heritage dam and headpond 2) CVC has not properly considered the cultural heritage of the site and is unable to make an unbiased decision 3) Cultural preservation measures provided by the OHA, PPS and NEPDA were ignored	G: Granted with conditions 1) In response to concerns with Cultural Heritage (member of public) – no conditions imposed 2) In response to concerns identified by MNRF (concerns formally identified; Part II Order <u>not</u> submitted) - conditions included further consultation during the detailed design process.	Completed November 2017	Not required at this time – project currently in construction for 2022 completion	Not required at this time
Crowe Valley	Tim Pidduck, General Manager	no projects												
Essex Region	James Bryant, Director of Watershed Management Services	no projects												
Ganaraska Region	Cory Harris, Watershed Services Coordinator	no projects												
Grand River	Naomi Moore, Water Resources Project Coordinator	Schneider Creek Remediation Class Environmental	Schneider Creek in the City of Kitchener	RF, RE	2011 ²¹	n/a	A	ADD, March 30, 2012 A, May 10, 2012	ADD	n/a	n/a	C, June 18, 2012	Not required	n/a

²¹ Based on MOECC direction, this project proceeded as an addendum to the original 1995 project and was completed in accordance with the 1993 Class EA document

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{17&18}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD 	Part II Order Request • Yes = Y • No = N (Comments on Part II Order Request)	Outcome of Part II Order Request Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC (If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions", summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ Completed = C, date Not applicable = n/a Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ • Completed = C • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable) • Yes = Y • No = N (Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community Liaison Committee Report)
		Assessment Addendum	(Hayward Avenue to Manitou Drive)											
Grey Sauble	John Bittorf, Water Resources Coordinator	no projects												
Halton Region	Glenn Farmer, Manager, Flood Forecasting and Operations	Hilton Falls Dam, diversion structure	Sixteen Mile Creek	RF& RE	2009	n/a	С	I, February 6, 2014 F, March 2, 2015 A, November 20, 2015 C, November 30, 2021	РР	Ν	n/a	C, December 11, 2015	Not yet completed	n/a
	Jonathan Bastien, Water Resources	Lower Spencer Creek Integrated Subwatershed Study	Lower Spencer Creek, Community of Dundas, Hamilton	RE & RF	2012	n/a	А	I, August 10, 2012	РР	N	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a
Hamilton	Engineering	Stoney Creek and Battlefield Creek Flood and Erosion Control Flood and Erosion Control Project for	Stoney Creek and Battlefield Creek, Community of Stoney Creek Upper Battlefield Creek and Stoney Creek	RE & RF RF & RE	2009	n/a n/a	IA	I, October 23, 2009 F, Spring 2018	РР	N	n/a n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a n/a
Hamilton	Scott Peck, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer / Director, Watershed Planning	Creek and Stoney Creek Class EA Flood Remediation	Community of Stoney Creek, City of Hamilton											
	and Engineering	Project – Watercourse 11, Fifty Point Conservation Area	Conservation Area Community of Stoney Creek, City of Hamilton	RF	2018	n/a	A	F, March 2019	РР	Ν	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a
Kawartha	Mark Majchrowski, Chief Administrative Officer	no projects												
Kettle Creek	Elizabeth VanHooren, General Manager/Secretary Treasurer	no projects												

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{17&18}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD 	Part II Order Request • Yes = Y • No = N (Comments on Part II Order Request)	Outcome of Part II Order Request Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC (If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions", summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ • Completed = C, date • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ • Completed = C • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable) • Yes = Y • No = N (Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community Liaison Committee Report)
Lake Simcoe Region	Kenneth Cheney, Acting Director, Engineering	Alcona Flood Relief Project	Alcona – Town of Innisfil	RF	2019	n/a	А	I, September 2019 F, April 2020	ESR	Ν	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a
Lakehead Region	Tammy Cook, Chief Administrative Officer	no projects												
Long Point Region	Ben Hodi, Watershed Services Manager	no projects												
Lower Thames	Valerie Towsley, Resource Technician	no projects												
Lower Trent	Anne Anderson, Watershed Management Coordinator	no projects												
Maitland Valley	Patrick Huber-Kidby, Planning and Regulations Supervisor	no projects												
Mattagami Region	David Vallier, General Manager	no projects												
Region N Mississippi J Valley F	Juraj Cunderlik, Director Water	Shabomeka Lake Dam Class Environmental Assessment	Lot 23, Concession XII, Barrie Ward , Township of North Frontenac	RF	2017		А	F, November 15, 2018 A, January 28, 2020	РР	N	n/a	C, February 7, 2020		
	Resources Engineering	Carp River Erosion Control Project Class Environmental Assessment	City of Ottawa (Kanata)	RE	2017		A	F, October 15, 2018 ADD, March 12, 2021 A, July 15, 2021	РР	N	n/a	C, July 27, 2021		
Niagara Peninsula	Leilani Lee-Yates, Director, Watershed Management	no projects												

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{17&18}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD 	Part II Order Request • Yes = Y • No = N (Comments on Part II Order Request)	Outcome of Part II Order Request Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC (If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions", summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ • Completed = C, date • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ • Completed = C • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable) • Yes = Y • No = N (Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community Liaison Committee Report)
Nickel District	Carl Jorgensen, General Manager	no projects												
North Bay- Mattawa	Kurtis Romanchuk, Water Resources Engineer	Chippewa Creek Channel Repair at Oak Street	City of North Bay	RF, RE	2018	n/a	А	F, May 27, 2019 A, June 26, 2019	ESR	Ν	n/a	C, June 27, 2019	Not required	n/a
Nottawasaga Valley	Mark Hartley, Senior Engineer	no projects												
Otonabee	Gordon Earle, Water Resources Technologist	No projects												
Quinte	Christine McClure, Water Resources Manager	no projects												
Raisin Region	Richard Pilon, General Manager	no projects												
Rideau Valley	Terry Davidson, Director of Regulations	no projects												
Saugeen	Jennifer Stephens, General Manager	no projects												
Sault Ste Marie Region	Christine Ropeter, Assistant Manager	no projects												
South Nation	Sandra Mancini, Team Lead, Engineering	no projects												
St. Clair	Girish Sankar, Director of Water Resources	Clearwater (Sarnia) Erosion Control Project Addendum	Lake Huron Shoreline in Brights Grove, Sarnia	SE	1993	1993	с	n/a	ESR	Ν	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{17&18}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD	Part II Order Request • Yes = Y • No = N (Comments on Part II Order Request)	Outcome of Part II Order Request Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC (If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions", summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ • Completed = C, date • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ • Completed = C • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable) • Yes = Y • No = N (Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community Liaison Committee Report)
		Mission Park (Former CN Lands) Shore Protection Revitalization	Sarnia Bay beginning at Ferry Dock Hill and stretching 400 meters south, Sarnia	SE	2007	n/a	А	F, August 2008	PP	N	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a
	Nikki Cordy, Project Manager	Erosion Control Project near 70 Main Street South	Adjacent to Rouge River, downstream of the Milne Dam Conservation Area	RE	2015	n/a	A – Detailed design complete; implementation scheduled for spring 2022	I, May 7, 2015 F, August 17, 2019 A, October 17, 2019	РР	N	n/a	C, October 17, 2019	To be completed upon submission of NoC	n/a
	Rehana Rajabali, Senior Engineer – Flood Risk and Communications	Managing Flood Risk in the Black Creek	Black Creek, from Scarlett Road to Weston Rd.	RF	2009	n/a	А	I, June 5, 2009 F, September 11, 2014	РР	Ν	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a
Toronto and Region	Lisa Turnbull, Senior Manager – Project Management Office	Ashbridges Bay Erosion and Sediment Control Project	Entrance of the Coatsworth Cut navigation channel	SE	1999, reinitiated under 2002 in 2013	n/a	A – Detailed Design Completed; Securement of Permits Completed; Construction Initiated in January 2020	I, August 2009 I, May 2, 2013 F, December 18, 2014	ESR	N	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a
	Ilona Lehtokoski Project Manager & Courtney Rennie, Project Manager	Humber River between Cruickshank park and 1025 Scarlett Road, City of Toronto – Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	1025 Scarlett Road and Cruickshank Park, northeast of the intersection of Lawrence Avenue West and Weston Road, Toronto	RE	2015	n/a	C – Construction of Phase 1 (lower slope) completed in fall 2017. Phase 2 (upper slope) works were implemented in fall 2019.	I, September 23, 2015 F, March 24, 2016 A, September 30, 2016 C, January 29, 2020	РР	N	n/a	C, January 11, 2017	C, December 22, 2020	n/a
	Divya Sasi Project Manager	West Don River in E.T. Seton Park Major Maintenance Project	E.T. Seton Park, City of Toronto	RE	2020	n/a	A – Assessment and evaluation of potential alternatives through 2021. Detailed design in 2022.	I – November 2020	PP – To be completed in 2021	n/a	n/a	To be completed following submission of NoA	To be completed following submission of NoC	To be completed following submission of NoC

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{17&18}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD	Part II Order Request • Yes = Y • No = N (Comments on Part II Order Request)	Outcome of Part II Order Request Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC (If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions", summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ • Completed = C, date • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ • Completed = C • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable) • Yes = Y • No = N (Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community Liaison Committee Report)
	Phil Wolfraim, Project Manager	219 – 226 Roslin Avenue Slope Stabilization Project	219 – 226 Roslin Avenue, City of Toronto	RE	2019	n/a	Canc	I – February 2019 Canc – November 2021	РР	n/a	n/a	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Azalea Court Slope Stability and Erosion Risk Assessment	6-20 Azalea Court, City of Toronto	RE	2019	n/a	A – Erosion risk assessment and development of concept alternatives	I – August 2019	РР	n/a	n/a	To be completed upon submission of NoA	To be completed upon submission of NoC	To be completed following submission of NoC
	Nivedha Sundararaiah	Denison Road Upper Slope Stabilization Project	48 – 66 Denison Road West, City of Toronto	RE	2019	n/a	A – Erosion risk assessment and development of concept alternatives	I – April 2019	РР	n/a	n/a	To be completed upon submission of NoA	To be completed upon submission of NoC	To be completed following submission of NoC
	Project Manager	Black Creek Between 111 Whitburn Crescent and 2 Jennifer Court, City of Toronto - Erosion Damage Restoration Project	Downsview Dells Park, Black Creek, including 2 and 4 Jennifer Court, 139 Whitburn Crescent, 111/117 Whitburn Crescent and 135 – 137 Whitburn Crescent, Toronto	RE	2014	n/a	С	I, May 8, 2014 Declaration of Emergency Works, July 21, 2014	EMR	n/a	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a
		19-31 Ridge Point Crescent Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	19-31 Ridge Point Crescent, City of Toronto	RE	2018	n/a	A – Secure permits and approvals for implementation. Implementation year TBD.	I – February 8, 2018 F – December 19, 2019 A – February 14, 2020	РР	n/a	n/a	C, February 14, 2020	To be completed upon submission of NoC	n/a
	Jaya Soora Project Manager	21, 23, and 25 Peacham Crescent Slope Stabilization Project	21 – 25 Peacham Crescent, City of Toronto	RE	2018	n/a	A – Construction underway	I – November 22, 2018 F – September 10, 2019 A – February 14, 2020	РР	n/a	n/a	C – February 14, 2020	To be completed upon submission of NoC	n/a
		Mimico Creek behind 19-25 Ridgegate Crescent Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	19-25 Ridgegate Crescent, City of Toronto	RE/RF	2017	n/a	C – April 2020	I, December 14, 2017 F – October 1, 2019 A – November 20, 2019 C – May 19, 2020	РР	n/a	n/a	C – January 14, 2020	C – May 19, 2020	n/a

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{17&18}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD	Part II Order Request • Yes = Y • No = N (Comments on Part II Order Request)	Outcome of Part II Order Request Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC (If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions", summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ Completed = C, date Not applicable = n/a Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ • Completed = C • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable) • Yes = Y • No = N (Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community Liaison Committee Report)
		East Don River behind 30 Northline Road, City of Toronto – Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	Eglinton Avenue East and Don Valley Parkway, Toronto	RE	2015	n/a	ΙΑ	I, October 29, 2015	PP	n/a	n/a	Not required at this time	Not required at this time	n/a
		Eldorado Court Slope Stabilization Project, City of Toronto	Finch Avenue and Sheppard Avenue West	RE	2018	n/a	A – Evaluate alternative solutions in 2022.	I, December 6, 2018	РР	n/a	n/a	To be completed upon submission of NoA	To be completed upon submission of NoC	n/a
		Mimico Creek behind 2 Kevi Lane Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	Martin Grove Road and Rathburn Road	RE	2018	n/a	A – Evaluate alternative solutions in 2022.	I – December 13, 2018	РР	n/a	n/a	To be completed upon submission of NoA	To be completed upon submission of NoC	n/a
		Topcliff Avenue Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	31 – 43 Topcliff Avenue	RE/RF	2020	n/a	A – Develop detailed designs in 2022.	I – February 13, 2020	РР	n/a	n/a	C – March 29, 2021	To be completed upon submission of NoC	n/a
		Yellow Creek near Heath Street East Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization project	St. Clair Avenue East and Yonge Street	RE/RF	2018	n/a	A – File PP report in 2022.	I – June 8,2018	РР	n/a	n/a	To be completed upon submission of NoA	To be completed upon submission of NoC	n/a
		30, 32, 36 Rose Park Crescent Slope Stabilization Project, City of Toronto	St Clair Avenue East and Yonge Street	RF	2018	n/a	C – Remedial works substantially completed on November 1, 2020	Declaration of Emergency Works, November 19, 2018	EMR	n/a	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a
	Ashour Rehana, Manager, Capital & Special Projects	Yellow Creek Below Summerhill Gardens Emergency Works	St Clair Avenue East and Yonge Street	RE	2019	n/a	C – Remedial works substantially completed in May 2020	Declaration of Emergency Works, July 31, 2019	EMR	n/a	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{17&18}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD 	Part II Order Request • Yes = Y • No = N (Comments on Part II Order Request)	Outcome of Part II Order Request Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC (If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions", summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ • Completed = C, date • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ • Completed = C • Not applicable = n/a • Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable) • Yes = Y • No = N (Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community Liaison Committee Report)
	Jaya Soora Project Manager	Berry Creek Behind Norfield Crescent, City of Toronto	22- 32 Norfield Crescent, Toronto	RE/RF	2014	n/a	C– Emergency works complete and EMR submitted to CO. Risk to essential structures in Phase 2 to be confirmed in 2018	I, May 15, 2014 Declaration of Emergency Works, August 1, 2014 EMR, July 4, 2015	EMR	n/a	n/a	Not required	C, Emergency Works Report sent July 6, 2015	n/a
		Gibraltar Point Erosion Control Project	Gibraltar Point Sector of the Toronto Islands, Toronto	SE	2004	n/a	A – Addendum phase under Section 6.0 of the Class EA For Remedial Flood and Erosion control Projects, for projects that have not begun construction within 5 years of approval.	A, March 2008 ADD - I, August 18, 2016	ESR	N	n/a	C, March 17, 2008	not required	n/a
		Gibraltar Point Erosion Control Project, Addendum	Toronto Islands, between Hanlan's Beach and Gibraltar Point	SE	2016		A	F, March 22, 2018 A, June 22, 2018	ADD	n/a	n/a	C, August 24, 2018	n/a	n/a
	Meg St John Project Manager II	Lower Don River West Remedial Flood Protection Project	Lower Don River, south of Queen St., Toronto	RF	2003	n/a	A - Nearing completion (FPL- Completed, As- built Drawings submitted to TRCA from IO) Don River Bridge done in 2007- Enbridge initiating EA to remove infrastructure off – utility bridge in order to remove bridge (2017) – DMNP EA to supersede east banks works south of CN railways)	A, October 2005	ESR	Y, February 2005	DWC, September 26, 2005 All commitments made to affected parties must be fulfilled according to Class EA	С	Not required	n/a

											Outcome of Part II Order Request			
				Project TypeRiverine		Date Phase 3 of	Status of Project	2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date 	Document Level	Part II Order Request	 Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D 	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ • Completed = C, date	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ • Completed = C	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable)
Conservation	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	Flooding = RF • Riverine/ Valley Slope	Date Project Initiated * current project	Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA)	 Active = A Inactive = IA 	 Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A 	 Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR 	 Yes = Y No = N 	• Denied with Conditions = DWC	 Not applicable n/a 	• Not applicable = n/a	 Yes = Y No = N
Autorny				 Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Class EA ^{17&18}	Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	 Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 Apploval – A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD 	(Comments on Part II Order Request)	(If Part II Order Request ''Denied with Conditions'',	• Not required at this time = not required	• Not required at this time = not required	(Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community
											summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	(Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	(Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Liaison Committee Report)
	Jet Taylor Project Manager	Bluffer's Park Southwest Headland and Beach Major Maintenance Project Class EA	Lake Ontario shoreline at Bluffers Park South of the intersection of Kingston Road and Brimley Road, Toronto	SE	2017	n/a	A	I, October 26, 2017 F – May 3, 2018	РР	N	n/a	Not required	Not required	
		Guildwood Parkway Erosion Control Project (Addendum)	Below 441-449 Guildwood Parkway, Toronto	SE	1988 ²²	n/a	Canc	I, August 27, 2015	ADD-ESR	Ν	n/a	C, Jan 2017	C, Jan 2017	n/a
	Jet Taylor Project Manager Rebecca Salvatore Project Manager	Toronto Island Park Flood and Erosion Mitigation Project	Toronto Island	SE SF	2020	n/a	A	I, September 30, 2021 Declaration of Emergency Words, February 19, 2020	ESR	N	n/a	Not required	Not required	n/a
	Nivedha Sundararajah, Project Manager	Humber River Between 1 Katrine Road and 53 Riverhead Drive, City of Toronto – Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Works	1 Katrine Road – 53 Riverhead Drive, Toronto	RE	2014	n/a	С	I, May 15, 2014 Declaration of Emergency works, August 20, 2014	EMR	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Custom Barris	East Don River behind Clarinda Drive Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project	73 – 95 Clarinda Drive , Toronto	RE	March 5, 2020	n/a	A – Filing of EA PP and development of detailed designs in 2022	I, March 5, 2020	РР	N	n/a	To be completed upon submission of NoA	To be completed upon submission of NoPC	n/a
	Courtney Rennie, Senior Project Manager AJ an E1 ar St Pr	Black Creek Tributary behind Appletree Court and Seeley Drive Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project (Phase 1)	Sheppard Avenue West and Keele Street, Toronto	RE	2015	n/a	С	C, July 23, 2020	РР	N	n/a	C, December 18, 2017	C, December 19, 2019	n/a

²² Current project being undertaken as an addendum to the originally approved ESR. This addendum is in compliance with Section 3.8 of the Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects (2002 – Amended 2013).

Conservation Authority	CA Contact	Project Name	Project Location	 Project Type Riverine Flooding = RF Riverine/ Valley Slope Erosion = RE Shoreline Flooding = SF Shoreline Erosion = SE 	Date Project Initiated * current project under the 1993 Class EA ^{17&18}	Date Phase 3 of Project Initiated (if under 1993 Class EA) Only applicable if under 1993 Class EA	Status of Project Active = A Inactive = IA Complete = C Cancelled = Canc 	 2002 Notice Stage Intent = I, date Filing = F, date Addendum = ADD, date Approval = A, date Completion = C, date Not Applicable = n/a 	 Document Level Project Plan = PP Environmental Study Report = ESR Emergency Report = EMR Addendum = ADD 	Part II Order Request • Yes = Y • No = N (Comments on Part II Order Request)	Outcome of Part II Order Request Granted = G Mediation = M Denied = D Denied with Conditions = DWC (If Part II Order Request "Denied with Conditions", summary of conditions imposed on project as part of Minister's denial)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part A ¹⁹ Completed = C, date Not applicable = n/a Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of "Proponent CA Evaluation Form: Part B ²⁰ Completed = C Not applicable = n/a Not required at this time = not required (Clarification and Explanation, if applicable)	Completion of Community Liaison Committee Report (if applicable) • Yes = Y • No = N (Explanation of Concerns Identified in the Community Liaison Committee Report)
		Black Creek Tributary behind Appletree Court and Seeley Drive Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project (Phase 2)	Sheppard Avenue West and Keele Street, Toronto	RE	2019	n/a	A – Filing of EA PP and development of detailed designs in 2022	I, April 4, 2019	РР	N	n/a	To be completed upon submission of NoA	To be completed upon submission of NoPC	n/a
	Melody Brown, Project Manager	Pickering and Ajax Dykes Rehabilitation Project	City of Pickering and Town of Ajax (Duffins Creek watershed), between Church St S and Brock Road, north of Hwy 401, south of Delaney Dr	RF	2019	n/a	A	I, August 8, 2019 F, August 27, 2020 A, November 18, 2020	ESR – expected in spring 2020	n/a	n/a	C, November 18, 2020	Not required at this time	Not required at this time
	Chris Tasker, Manager, Water and	Harrington Dam EA	Community of Harrington, Harrington Creek	RF (Mill Dam)	2014	n/a	А	I, June 12, 2015	PP - expected in 2023	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Upper Thames	Information Management	Embro Dam EA	Near Community of Embro, north of on Youngsville Drain	RF (Conservation Area Pond)	2014	n/a	А	I, June 12, 2015	PP- expected in 2023	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Appendix B Example of Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form

(Note: This is a new component of the 2002 Class EA and is not a requirement for projects initiated under the 1993 Class EA process).

The Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form: Part A and Part B is a necessary part of evaluating the effectiveness of this Class Environmental Assessment and will be used by Conservation Ontario to deliver on commitments made in Sections 10.0 and 11.0 of this Class EA. It is a necessary part of retaining our approval under the *Environmental Assessment Act* for this class of undertakings.

Part A:

This part of the evaluation form must be completed and submitted to Conservation Ontario within 30 days of the date stated on the "Notice of Project Approval".

Conservation Authority

Remedial Project Name:

This project has been planned in accordance with the *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects*, approved under *the Environmental Assessment Act* for projects of this type.

Responsible project manager

Date

Please rate your satisfaction level with the following stages of the Class EA Process.

	Least			Most		
	<u>Satis</u>	fied	S	atisfi	ied	
Initiation of the Class EA Process	1	2	3	4	5	
Examination of Environmental Planning & Design Principles	1	2	3	4	5	
Review of Selection of Preferred CA Program	1	2	3	4	5	
Preparation of a Baseline Inventory	1	2	3	4	5	
Evaluation of Alternative Methods						
for Carrying out Remedial Project	1	2	3	4	5	
Selection of Preferred Alternative Method	1	2	3	4	5	
Detailed Environmental Analysis of the	1	2	3	4	5	
Preferred Alternative Method						
Selection of Documentation Level	1	2	3	4	5	
Report Preparation (level of detail required)	1	2	3	4	5	
Notification Requirements	1	2	3	4	5	
Requests for Part II Orders (if applicable)	1	2	3	4	5	
Amendment Process (if applicable)	1	2	3	4	5	
Participation Levels (level of interest, ability to resolve issues)	1	2	3	4	5	
Class EA Effectiveness Monitoring	1	2	3	4	5	
(Conservation Ontario Annual Effects Monitoring Report,						

Five Year Review Report)

Additional detail explaining the satisfaction level assigned may be attached to this form. Where your satisfaction level rates 1 or 2, additional detail should be attached and contribute to:

- Clarification of ambiguous areas of the document and procedure
- Improvement or streamlining of the planning and design process in areas where problems may have arisen
- Identification of need to extend the Class EA to undertakings that were not previously included
- Identification of need to withdraw the Class EA from undertakings which were previously included
- Updating information provided in the document (e.g. Appendix C of Class EA)

Part B:

This part of the evaluation form must be completed and submitted to Conservation Ontario within 30 days of the date stated on the "Notice of Project Completion".

Conservation Authority

Remedial Project Name:

This project's construction has been completed in accordance with the *Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects*, approved under the *Environmental Assessment Act* for projects of this type. [INCLUDE IF APPROPRIATE: including any conditions requiring monitoring that were imposed on the project as part of the Minister of the Environment and Climate Change or delegate's denial of a Part II Order request (Section 7.0, #6.ii)].

Responsible project manager

Date

Please rate your satisfaction level with the following stages of the Class EA Process.

	L <u>Satis</u>	east sfied	Sa	Mos <u>Satisfiec</u>	
Construction Monitoring	1	2	3	4	5
Amendment Process (if applicable)	1	2	3	4	5
Report Preparation (level of detail required)	1	2	3	4	5
Project Results (outcomes of the monitoring report; issues successfully resolved)	1	2	3	4	5
Notification Requirements	1	2	3	4	5
Class EA Effectiveness Monitoring	1	2	3	4	5
(Conservation Ontorio Annual Effectiveness Monitoring					

(Conservation Ontario Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report, Five Year Review Report) Additional detail explaining the satisfaction level assigned may be attached to this form. Where your satisfaction level rates 1 or 2, additional detail should be attached and contribute to:

- Clarification of ambiguous areas of the document and procedure
- Improvement or streamlining of the planning and design process in areas where problems may have arisen
- Identification of need to extend the Class EA to undertakings that were not previously included
- Identification of need to withdraw the Class EA from undertakings which were previously included
- Updating information provided in the document (e.g. Appendix C of Class EA)

Appendix C Community Liaison Committee Report Example Format

As per Section 4.1.5, members of a Community Liaison Committee may submit an assessment to the Conservation Authority, after Notice of Project Completion, commenting on the effectiveness of the Class EA process for meeting public concerns for the specific project and, where relevant, identify possible improvements.

Please rate the Committee's satisfaction level with the following as it pertains to the Class EA Process to address concerns associated with this project.

	Least	Most
	Satisfied	Satisfied
Initiation of the Class Environmental Assessment Process		12345
Examination of Environmental Planning and Design Principles		12345
Review of Selection of Preferred Conservation Authority Program		12345
Preparation of a Baseline Inventory		12345
Evaluation of Alternative Methods for Carrying Out Remedial Proj	ject	12345
Selection of Preferred Alternative Method		12345
Detailed Environmental Analysis of the Preferred Alternative Meth	nod	12345
Selection of Documentation Level		12345
Report Preparation		12345
Notification		12345
Participation Levels		12345
Conservation Authority's Ability to Understand Concerns		12345
Conservation Authority's Accommodation of Concerns		12345
Provision of Sufficient Education Opportunities to Increase Your L	level	
of Understanding		12345
Project Results		12345

Please outline any areas of problems or concerns or points where expectations were not addressed by the Class Environmental Assessment process.

		Results o	f Complet	ed Propor	nent CAE	valuation	Forms for	· Project	sfrom D	ecember 20	16 - Dece	mber 202	1		
Proponent CA Evaluation Form: <u>Part A</u> Stages of the Class EA Process	Belfountain Dam & Headpond Class EA (CVC)	70 Main Street South and 29 Princess Street Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project (TRCA)	Peacham Crescent Slope Stabilization Project (TRCA)	Topcliff Avenue Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project (TRCA)	Ridge Point Crescent Slope Stabilization Project (TRCA)	Carp Creek Embankment Restoration (MVC)	Shabomeka Lake Dam Class EA (MVC)	Mimico Creek Behind Ridgegate Crescent (TRCA)	Addendum to Gibraltar Point Erosion Control Project (TRCA)	Pickering and Ajax Dykes Rehabilitation (TRCA)	Black Creek Tributary behind Appletree Court and Seeley Drive Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project (TRCA)	Fishleigh Drive Erosion Control Project (TRCA)	Humber River Between 1025 Scarlett Road and Cruickshank Park Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project (TRCA)	Guildwood Parkway Erosion Control Project Phase 2 (TRCA)	Chippewa Creek Channel Repair at Oak Street (NBMCA)
Initiation of the Class EA Process	3	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Examination of Environmental Planning & Design Principles	3	3	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	5
Review of Selection of Preferred Conservation Authority Program	2	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Preparation of a Baseline Inventory	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5
Evaluation of Alternative Methods for Carrying out Remedial Project	4	-	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	-	5	5	5	5
Selection of Preferred Alternative Method	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5
Detailed Environmental Analysis of the Preferred Alternative Method	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5
Selection of Documentation Level	4	3	5	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5

Appendix D

Report Preparation (level of detailed required)	3	3	5	3	5	4	4	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	5
Notification Requirements	5	3	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	4
Requests for Part II Orders (if applicable)	3	-	5	-	5	-	-	5	5	-	-	3	-	-	-
Amendment Process (if applicable)	-	-	5	-	5	-	4	5	5	-	-	4	-	-	-
Participation Levels (level of interest, ability to resolve issues)	4	3	5	4	5	3	4	5	4	5	3	5	5	5	3
Class EA Effectiveness Monitoring (Conservation Ontario Annual Effects Monitoring Report, Five Year Review Report)	-	3	5	4	5	4	4	5	-	-	3	5	5	5	4

Proponent CA Evaluation Form: <u>Part B</u>	Dia de Carale Tailante en la chin d					
Stages of the Class EA Process	Appletree Court and Seeley Drive Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project (Phase 1) (TRCA)	Mimico Creek behind Ridgegate Crescent Erosion Control Project (TRCA)	Britannia Class EA (RVCA)	Guildwood Parkway Erosion Control Project (Phase 2) (TRCA)	Humber River between 1025 Scarlett Road and Cruickshank Park Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization Project (TRCA)	Fishleigh Drive Erosion Control Project (TRCA)
Construction Monitoring	4	4	4	5	4	4
Amendment Process (if applicable)	4	-	-	5	-	4
Report Preparation (level of detail required)	4	5	4	5	5	5
Project Results (outcomes of the monitoring report; issues successfully resolved)	4	5	3	5	5	5
Notification Requirements	3	5	4	5	5	5

Class EA Effectiveness Monitoring						
(Conservation Ontario Annual Effects	4	4	4	5	4	5
Monitoring Report, Five Year Review	4	4	4	5	4	5
Report)						

Appendix E Notice of Approval for Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects



Order in Council Décret

On the recommendation of the undersigned, the Lieutenant Governor, by and with the advice and concurrence of the Executive Council, orders that:

Sur la recommandation du soussigné, le lieutenant-gouverneur, sur l'avis et avec le consentement du Conseil des ministres, décrète ce qui suit :

WHEREAS section 9 of the *Environmental Assessment Act* provides that the Minister of the Environment, with the approval of the Lieutenant Governor in Council, may give approval to proceed with an undertaking, give approval to proceed with an undertaking subject to such conditions as the Minister considers necessary, or refuse to give approval to proceed with the undertaking;

WHEREAS a Notice of Completion of Review for the Class Environmental Assessment for the undertaking, which is the subject of the attached notice, was published on November 13, 2001, and one submission was received;

WHEREAS no notices requesting a hearing were received by the Minister of the Environment after the publication of the Notice of Completion of the Review; and

WHEREAS, having considered the purpose of the Act, the approved Terms of Reference, the Class Environmental Assessment, which is the subject of the attached notice, and the submissions received, the undersigned Minister of Environment and Energy considers that a hearing is unnecessary and is of the opinion that the undertaking should be given approval to proceed, subject to the conditions specified in the attached notice,

THEREFORE, pursuant to the provisions of the *Environmental Assessment Act*, the undertaking which is the subject of the attached notice, be given approval to proceed subject to the said conditions.

Concurred

Recommended

Minister of Environment and Energy

Chair of Cabinet

Approved and Ordered

JUN 2 6 2002 Date

K Lieutenant Governor

Certified to be a true copy

Deputy Clerk, Executive Council

O.C./Décret 1381/2002

94

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT ACT

SECTION 9

NOTICE OF APPROVAL OF CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

- RE: Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects
 - Proponent: Conservation Ontario, on behalf of the 38 Conservation Authorities across Ontario
 - EA File No.: CA-AA-01

TAKE NOTICE that the period for requiring a hearing, provided for in the Notice of Completion of the Review for the above noted undertaking, expired on December 14, 2001. Only one submission was received before the expiration date, and it did not require a hearing by the Environmental Review Tribunal.

I do not consider it advisable or necessary to hold a hearing. Having considered the purpose of the Act, the approved Terms of Reference, the Class Environmental Assessment, the Review and the submissions received, I hereby give approval to the Class Environmental Assessment, subject to conditions set out below.

REASONS:

My reasons for giving approval are:

1 On the basis of the proponent's Class Environmental Assessment and the ministry's Review, the proponent's conclusion that, on balance, the advantages of proponent Conservation Authorities proceeding pursuant to the Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects outweigh the disadvantages of doing so appears to be valid.

- No other beneficial alternative method of implementing projects covered by the Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects was identified.
- On the basis of the proponent's Class Environmental Assessment, the ministry's Review and the conditions of approval, the planning, construction, operation, maintenance and retirement of the class of undertakings will be consistent with the purpose of the Act (Section 2).
- All of the concerns raised by the Government and Agency Review Team have been adequately addressed by the proponent. The public review of the Class Environmental Assessment did not identify any outstanding concerns or issues.
- 5. The submission received after the Notice of Completion of the Review was published has been dealt with by the proponent. I am not aware of any outstanding issues with respect to this undertaking which suggest that a hearing should be required.

CONDITIONS:

Definitions

- 1 For the purposes of these conditions:
 - (a) "proponent" refers to Conservation Ontario's member Conservation Authorities, as defined in the Conservation Authorities Act, who will be carrying out the proposed class of undertakings, or Conservation Ontario on behalf of the Conservation Authorities.
 - (b) "MOEE" refers to the Ontario Ministry of Environment and Energy.
 - (c) "EAAB" refers to the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch of the Ministry of Environment and Energy.
 - (d) "Director" refers to the Director of the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch.
 - (e) "document" refers to the final Class EA, the Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report or the Five-Year Review.
 - (f) "the Class EA" refers to the Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects (submitted for approval August, 2001 and amended January, 2002).

General Requirements

 This Class EA replaces the Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects, approved pursuant to Order-in-Council no. 280/93, and extended by Order-in-Council no. 1706/98 and Order-in-Council no. 1061/00 under the Environmental Assessment Act.

3

- 3. The proponent shall comply with all the provisions of the Class EA submitted to the ministry which are hereby incorporated in this approval by reference except as provided in these conditions and as provided in any other approvals or permits that may be issued.
- These conditions do not prevent more restrictive conditions being imposed under other statutes.

Public Record

- 5. Where a document is required for the Public Record, the proponent shall provide the document to the Director for filing within the specific Public Record file maintained for the undertaking. The proponent shall also provide copies of the document for the purpose of public review to:
 - (a) the Director of the MOEE Eastern Region Office;
 - (b) the Director of the MOEE Central Region Office;
 - (c) the Director of the MOEE West Central Region Office;
 - (d) the Director of the MOEE Southwestern Region Office; and
 - (e) the Director of the MOEE Northern Region Office.

These documents may also be provided through other means as considered appropriate by the proponent. Thirty (30) copies of the final Class EA are to be provided to the EAAB for placement in the public record file and for use by ministry staff (including each Regional and District Office).

Monitoring and Reporting Conditions

6. The five-year review of the Class EA, as referred to in section 11.1 of the Class EA, shall be undertaken and submitted to the Director on January 31 of the fifth year following the date of this approval, and every five years thereafter, until such time as is otherwise indicated in writing by the Director to the proponent. An executive summary shall be included in each review. The five-year review shall also be placed on the Public Record.

7. The proponent shall carry out the effectiveness monitoring and reporting program referred to in section 10 of the Class EA. The annual report required by the program shall be submitted to the Director for placement on the Public Record.

4

8.1 The amending procedure for modifying this Class EA referred to in section 11.0 of the Class EA may be used by the proponent until:

(a) a regulation is made by the Lieutenant Governor in Council prescribing rules and restrictions under subsection 11.4(4) of the *Environmental Assessment Act* for amending or revoking decisions which apply to this Class EA, and

(b) the Minister of Environment and Energy has issued a notice to Conservation Ontario and filed a copy of it in the Public Record for this Class EA prescribing which of the procedures under the regulation shall apply in place of, or in addition to, the procedures set out in section 11.0 and which procedures in section 11.0 shall cease to apply.

8.2 A notice under clause 8.1 (b) may prescribe transitional procedures for any amendments proposed before a date specified in the notice.

Dated the <u>26</u> day of <u>June</u>, 2002 at TORONTO.

Minister of Environment and Energy 135 St. Clair Avenue West 12th Floor Toronto, Ontario M4V 1P5 98

Approved by O.C. No.

1381/2002

Appendix F

Summary of Public Notification Requirements and CO Documentation Needs

Information Bulletin: Summary of Notification and Documentation Requirements under Conservation Ontario's 2002 Class Environmental Assessment for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects (Class EA).

All stages of Public Notification and Project Documentation listed in the following table are required to be submitted to Conservation Ontario (CO) within the specified time-frames to allow for continuous tracking and monitoring of CA activities under CO's 2002 Class EA document. Information is used for the completion of Conservation Ontario Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report, which is a requirement under the approval of CO's 2002 Class EA.

Notification & Documentation Requirements	Reference in 2002 Class EA document	Explanation	Public Notification Requirements	Notification/ Documentation Requirements to CO
1. Notice of Intent	Figure 1BSection 4.2Appendix E	 Issued when study is to be initiated. Invites public to participate in study 	To be sent to: - Local press - Contact groups	Notice to be sent to Conservation Ontario at time of issuance to public.
2. Notice of Filing Document for Review	 Figure 1B Section 4.2 Appendix E 	 Issued when study has been completed Invites public to review document and provide comments to CA 30 day comment period 	 To be sent to: a) For PP Those who expressed interest in study b) For ESR Local press Contact Group Those who expressed interest in study 	Notice to be sent to Conservation Ontario at time of issuance to public.

Notification & Documentation Requirements	Reference in 2002 Class EA document	Explanation	Public Notification Requirements	Notification/ Documentation Requirements to CO
3. Notice of Filing of Addendum	 Figure 1B Section 3.8 Section 4.2 Appendix E 	 Study has already been completed but due to comments raised during public review, passage of time, change in environmental setting, or unforeseen circumstances, a change in the proposed undertaking may be needed. Invites public to review document and provide comments to CA 15 day comment period 	 To be sent to: c) For PP Those who expressed interest in study d) For ESR Local press Contact Group Those who expressed interest in study 	Notice to be sent to Conservation Ontario at time of issuance to public.
4. Notice of Project Approval	 Figure 1B Figure 1C Section 4.2 Appendix E 	 Planning and design of project has been completed. Informs public that project is ready for construction 	To be sent to: - All those who expressed an interest in the project	Notice to be sent to Conservation Ontario at time of issuance to public.
a) Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form – Part A	 Section 3.72 Section 3.92 Appendix F 	 Provides <u>CO</u> with a summary of CA's satisfaction with the various stages of the Class EA planning and design process. Results used in CO's Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report and the Five Year Review 	None	Proponent CA Evaluation Form – Part A to be submitted to Conservation Ontario within 30 days of "Notice of Project Approval"
5. Notice of Project Completion	Figure 1CSection 4.2Appendix E	- Informs public that construction of project has been completed	To be sent to: - All those who expressed an interest in the project	Notice/documentation to be sent to Conservation Ontario at time of issuance to public.
a) Community Liaison Committee(CLC)	Section 4.1.3Appendix HAppendix I	- Provides CLC an opportunity to comment on the effectiveness of the Class EA process for meeting	Committee may include representatives from: contact group, local landowners, members of the	If report completed, CO requests that it be sent to CO at time of issuance to contribute to Section 1(ii)

Notification & Documentation Requirements	Reference in 2002 Class EA document	Explanation	Public Notification Requirements	Notification/ Documentation Requirements to CO
Report (if applicable)		 public concerns and identifying possible solutions. Report completed after notice of project completion 	general public, interest groups, agencies, etc.	of Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report.
b)Post Construction Monitoring Report	 Section 3.9.2 Figure 1C 	 Reports on monitoring program outlined in approved project. Used to evaluate success of the project as well as mitigative techniques and enhancement features. To be prepared within one year of project construction unless approved project's monitoring program specifies otherwise Report submitted in conjunction with notice of project completion 	To be sent to: - All those who expressed an interest in the project	Proponent CA encouraged to transfer new knowledge obtained through Post Construction Effects Monitoring Reports to all CAs
c) Proponent Conservation Authority Evaluation Form – Part B	 Section 3.72 Section 3.92 Appendix F 	 Provides Conservation Ontario with a summary of CA's satisfaction with the various stages of the Class EA planning and design process Results used in CO's Annual Effectiveness Monitoring Report and the Five Year Review 	None	Proponent CA Evaluation Form – Part B to be submitted to Conservation Ontario within 30 days of "Notice of Project Completion"

Appendix G

Proposed Amendments to the Class EA for Remedial Flood and Erosion Control Projects (*under consideration by the MECP*)

Amend ment Numb <u>er</u>	Section # or Text	Relevant Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
1	1.2.4	Table 1 Conservation Authority Policy Categories	It is proposed that this table be updated to reflect the wording used for activities exempt through O.Reg 334 under the <i>Environmental</i> <i>Assessment Act.</i> As per the Ministry's comments on the past five-year review of the Class EA, the table will be updated to properly reflect exemptions for activities under O. Reg 334 (i.e. "plan input and review", "conservation authority regulations", and "stormwater management review" will be removed from the table, as they are not subject to the EAA). Further, the table has been updated to clearly set out the status of various activities undertaken by CAs under the <i>EAA</i> (i.e. whether an activity proceeds with a Class EA, individual EA, or is exempt from EA requirements). The redesigned table clearly lists out the status of all activities under Section 8 of Regulation 334 (General), as well as the status of activities under the <i>EAA</i> . This update will clearly set out how projects may proceed for CA proponents.	This update is largely administrative in nature to bring this table in line with the wording used in the exemption regulation. The proposal to remove certain undertakings from this table to be placed in the new category of exempt undertakings aligns with the amendment proposal below to update cost thresholds for certain activities which have not been updated since the regulation was introduced.

2	N/A	N/A	A new Section of the Class EA is proposed	Currently Exempt in the Class EA
			(following current Need for Remedial Flood	
			and Erosion Control Projects) which details a	Operation of a Structure or Facility:
			list of exempt undertakings which would not	Move this exempt activity from Section 8.0
			be subject to the planning and design process	to the proposed new section which outlines
			of the Class EA. The new Section (Section 2.	exempt activities (currently exempt, re-
			Exempt Undertakings within the Class)	arranging the Class EA).
			includes an introduction which outlines the	
			rationale for these exemptions and a full list of	Maintenance of a Structure or Facility:
			these exempt undertakings. A centralized	Move this exempt activity from Section 8.0
			location for all exemptions under this Class	to the proposed new section which outlines
			will clarify project requirements for	exempt activities (currently exempt, re-
			proponents. All subsequent Section Numbers	arranging the Class EA). (See Amendment
			will be updated to reflect this change.	6 below for proposed updates to the
				definition of "maintenance").
			Undertakings included in this category are	
			either currently exempt through the Class EA,	Retirement of a Structure or Facility:
			represent minor amendments to exemptions	Move this exempt activity from Section 8.0
			included in Regulation 334, or low risk	to the proposed new section which outlines
			activities with little to no potential for adverse	exempt activities (currently exempt, re-
			environmental effects. Two activities included	arranging the Class EA).
			in this category ("Conservation Services" and	
			"Development of Conservation Areas and	Exempt through O. Reg 334
			Campgrounds"), currently have exemptions	
			under Regulation 334 with associated cost	Reforestation and woodlot management:
			thresholds for the works. Both these activities	Activity currently exempt through O. Reg
			have been written into the new Section 2.3	334 under the Environmental Assessment
			with the associated cost thresholds removed.	Act. These activities may be undertaken by
			The activity which is not currently exempt	conservation authority staff as part of
			(installation of safety measures) is similar to	remedial flood and erosion control works.
			the exemption for this activity under the	
			Municipal Class EA (MCEA). Activities in	Conservation Services: Activity currently
			this category would:	exempt through O. Reg 334 under the

 Not be subject to the planning and design process of the Class EA Not be subject to public notice or consultation requirements Not require a submission to the Director of the EAPB Aside from the removal of cost thresholds, the other significant amendment is the result of the change to the definition of "maintenance" (amendment 6). Footnote 1 has been inserted to address maintenance activities for CA owned and/or operated dams. Final text for this category: Section 15.3 of the EAA states that a class EA may provide that the EAA does not apply with respect to one or more undertakings are exempt from the EAA. The undertakings listed in this section are exempt from the EAA. The undertakings listed in this section 3.1 of this Class EA. The undertakings within this section are generally: 1) limited in scale, 2) have a low potential for net negative environmental effects, 3) routine activities related to the operation and maintenance of structures and 	Environmental Assessment Act when works are carried out under an agreement with a private landowner, with a cost threshold of \$50,000. CAs have been undertaking a substantial amount of work to protect private properties where the individual projects are localized and generally impact a small number of residents only. During these EAs, there is rarely interest at community meetings from non-impacted residents. Landowners in these instances wouldn't be subject to the EA process if they undertook remedial work themselves, and municipalities generally complete this work without undertaking an EA. This type of work isn't covered under the MCEA clearly. It is proposed that Conservation Services works (as defined in O.Reg 334) be exempt under this Class EA with no cost threshold since the works would be carried out under an agreement with a private landowner on privately owned property. The definition of conservation services from the regulation will be incorporated into Appendix J: Glossary of Terms.	
potential for net negative <i>environmental</i> <i>effects</i> , 3) routine activities related to the <i>operation</i> and <i>maintenance</i> of structures and facilities, and/or 4) have minimal impacts of matters of Provincial importance (see Section ##). The activities included in this section may	from the regulation will be incorporated into Appendix J: Glossary of Terms. Floodproofing : Activity currently exempt through O. Reg 334 under the Environmental Assessment Act. These	
	 Not be subject to the planning and design process of the Class EA Not be subject to public notice or consultation requirements Not require a submission to the Director of the EAPB Aside from the removal of cost thresholds, the other significant amendment is the result of the change to the definition of "maintenance" (amendment 6). Footnote 1 has been inserted to address maintenance activities for CA owned and/or operated dams. Final text for this category: Section 15.3 of the EAA states that a class EA may provide that the EAA does not apply with respect to one or more undertakings within the class, and that such undertakings listed in this section are exempt from the EAA. The undertakings listed in this section are exempt from the EAA. The undertakings listed in the purposes of section 15.3, and are not subject to the planning and design process outlined in Section 3.1 of this Class EA. The undertakings within this section are generally: 1) limited in scale, 2) have a low potential for net negative environmental effects, 3) routine activities related to the operation and maintenance of structures and facilities, and/or 4) have minimal impacts of matters of Provincial importance (see Section ##). The activities included in this section may 	
	be undertaken by proponents as part of	activities may be undertaken by
--	--	---
	remedial flood and erosion control works.	conservation authority staff as part of
		remedial flood and erosion control works.
	Terms which are italicized font have particular	The definition of floodproofing from the
	meanings within the context of this Class EA	regulation will be incorporated into
	Document. Proponents should refer to the	Appendix J: Glossary of Terms.
	Glossary (Appendix J) to accurately	
	distinguish project descriptions.	Development of Conservation Areas and
		Campgrounds : Activity currently exempt
	Pursuant to section 15.3 of the EAA, the EAA	through O. Reg 334 under the
	does not apply to the following undertakings	Environmental Assessment Act with a cost
	within this Class EA:	threshold of \$1,000,000. This exemption
		will also remove the cost threshold from
	1. <i>Operation</i> of a structure or facility	this activity.
	2. <i>Maintenance</i> ^{$23,24$} of a structure or	
	facility	Additional Proposed Exemptions
	3. <i>Retirement</i> of a structure or facility ²⁵	
	•	
	4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects	Installation of public safety devices
	4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control
	4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms,
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage):
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices around <i>flood</i> and <i>erosion</i> control 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no environmental risk. The proposed
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices around <i>flood</i> and <i>erosion</i> control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no environmental risk. The proposed amendment would align with the MCEA
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices around <i>flood</i> and <i>erosion</i> control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage). 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no environmental risk. The proposed amendment would align with the MCEA exemption for: Installation of safety
	 4. Conservation Services where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices around <i>flood</i> and <i>erosion</i> control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage). 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no environmental risk. The proposed amendment would align with the MCEA exemption for: Installation of safety projects (e.g. lighting including "high
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices around <i>flood</i> and <i>erosion</i> control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage). 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no environmental risk. The proposed amendment would align with the MCEA exemption for: Installation of safety projects (e.g. lighting including "high mast", grooving, glare screens, safety
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices around <i>flood</i> and <i>erosion</i> control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage). 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no environmental risk. The proposed amendment would align with the MCEA exemption for: Installation of safety projects (e.g. lighting including "high mast", grooving, glare screens, safety barriers, energy attenuators) under
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices around <i>flood</i> and <i>erosion</i> control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage). 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no environmental risk. The proposed amendment would align with the MCEA exemption for: Installation of safety projects (e.g. lighting including "high mast", grooving, glare screens, safety barriers, energy attenuators) under Schedule A.
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices around <i>flood</i> and <i>erosion</i> control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage). 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no environmental risk. The proposed amendment would align with the MCEA exemption for: Installation of safety projects (e.g. lighting including "high mast", grooving, glare screens, safety barriers, energy attenuators) under Schedule A.
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices around <i>flood</i> and <i>erosion</i> control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage). 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no environmental risk. The proposed amendment would align with the MCEA exemption for: Installation of safety projects (e.g. lighting including "high mast", grooving, glare screens, safety barriers, energy attenuators) under Schedule A.
	 4. <i>Conservation Services</i> where projects are undertaken through an agreement with a private landowner 5. Development of conservation areas and campgrounds 6. Installation of public safety devices around <i>flood</i> and <i>erosion</i> control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage). 	Installation of public safety devices around flood and erosion control infrastructure (e.g. safety booms, warning buoys, fencing and signage): Installation of these public safety measures are routine and pose little to no environmental risk. The proposed amendment would align with the MCEA exemption for: Installation of safety projects (e.g. lighting including "high mast", grooving, glare screens, safety barriers, energy attenuators) under Schedule A.

Amend ment	Section # or	Relevant Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
Number	Text			
3	3.2.4	Selection of a Preferred Conservation Authority Program Option	It is proposed to add a fifth potential "preferred program option" under this section with respect to the new category of exempt undertakings. The fifth program option reads: "The Conservation Authority may determine that the action needed is a remedial <i>flood</i> and/or <i>erosion</i> control measure which can be achieved through employing one or more of the exempt undertakings as defined in Section 2.3 of this Class EA. In such a case, the <i>proponent</i> may proceed with the undertaking and will not be subject to the planning and design process of this Class EA"	Although a new category of exempt undertakings is proposed, the proponent may still need to address the initial stages of the Planning and Design process as outlined in Section 3.0 (specifically, 3.1.1 Problem Identification, 3.1.2 Preliminary Site Analysis and 3.1.3 Evaluation of Possible Conservation Authority Options). There is a need to identify the exempt undertakings as a potential program option for proponents so they are aware of process requirements going forward.
4	3.6	"The evaluation of impacts should include evaluation of both temporary impacts during construction of the undertaking, and permanent impacts due to operation and maintenance of the undertaking after construction."	Before last sentence in this paragraph add "This may include considering construction access routes, requirements for heavy equipment access for on-going maintenance of the structure, and long-term recreational trail opportunities with the municipality or landowner."	Remedial flood and erosion control projects often require heavy equipment access to construct the project, and this provides an opportunity to also advance trail connections and recreational opportunities when considering long-term maintenance and access requirements. An access route for construction only may not consider ideal alignments/grading to the same degree as a trail, but it would be advantageous to modify these temporary access routes to avoid further disturbance in the area if it already known a trail initiative is planned. The proposed amendment is to provide clarity/additional detail to the Class EA,

Amend ment	Section # or	Relevant Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
Number	Text			
				and encourage project proponents to consider the applicability of these access routes for long-term recreational trail opportunities, maintenance or construction access needs. This amendment does not remove the need for municipalities to undertake their own MCEA, or other EA requirements.
5	8.0	Operation, Maintenance or Retirement	Operation, maintenance and retirement activities as outlined in Section 8.0 are to be moved to the proposed new category of exempt undertakings (above). Definitions for these three terms will be removed from this section and added into Appendix J – Glossary of Terms. The definitions are proposed to include all relevant text currently included in Section 8.0 to clarify the exemption and outline any additional requirements for proponents. In order to provide clarity to proponents, some aspects of Section 8.0 (re: retirement) will remain. Retirement activities which only involve the relinquishment of rights, such as operating and maintenance responsibilities, or those for structures built prior to the establishment of the <i>EAA</i> will be exempt under the proposed Section 2.3 (Exempt Undertakings within the Class).	Under Section 8.0 of the Class EA, "operation" and "maintenance" activities (as defined in the Class EA) are considered to be a "part of the approved project" and are "not independently subject to the planning and design process of this Class EA" (Pg. 43). Therefore, this amendment is largely administrative in nature as these activities currently have exemptions under this Class EA. Under Section 8.0, "retirement" of activities which only involve the relinquishment of rights, such as operation or maintenance responsibilities, can process without following the planning and design process of the Class EA (where the second party continues to operate and maintain the structure or facility in the same fashion as in the past).

Amend ment	Section # or	Relevant Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
Number	Text		Final Text – Section 8.0 Retirement Section 2.3 of this Class EA provides that <i>Retirement</i> of a structure or facility, as defined in Appendix #, is an exempt undertaking within the Class. "Retirement" refers to a situation in which the purpose or use of a structural or capital work as approved under this Class EA or its predecessor is no longer necessary and its operation is cancelled. <i>Retirement</i> of activities which only involve relinquishment of rights, such as operating or <i>maintenance</i> responsibilities, or that undertaken for structures built prior to the establishment of the <i>Environmental</i> <i>Assessment Act</i> , are exempt from the <i>EAA</i> under Section 2.3 of this Class EA and are not subject to the planning and design process of the Class EA, provided that the party assuming responsibility undertakes to continue to operate and maintain the structure or facility in the same fashion as in the past (i.e., the activities fall within the definition of <i>operations/maintenance</i>). Where a change in <i>operation</i> or <i>maintenance</i> is anticipated by the second party, the transfer shall not be made unless the second party meets all necessary requirements under the <i>EAA</i> .	It is proposed that exemptions related to operation, maintenance and retirements activities be incorporated in the proposed new exempt category of projects (above) to streamline the Class EA and provide proponents with a single location which identifies exempt undertakings.

Amend ment Number	Section # or Text	Relevant Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
			Some <i>retirement</i> activities may involve the demolition of a structure or a change in the purpose, use, capacity or location of a structure which could result in potentially significant <i>environmental effects</i> . Such <i>retirement</i> activities shall be planned in accordance with the planning and design process.	
6	8.0	The term "maintenance" refers to the upkeep, repair and the replacement and/or upgrading of a structure, or its performance where the objective, and application remain unchanged, and the volume, size or capability of the structure does not change from that approved for the undertaking under this Class EA or its predecessor. In this case, maintenance is considered to be a part of the	Maintenance activities are proposed to be exempt from this Class EA (see amendments 5 and 2 for more details). The definition of maintenance currently included in this section will be incorporated into the definition in Appendix J. Further, this definition will be amended to clarify that the following text and restrictions only apply to maintenance activities planned for CA owned and/or operated dams: "the volume, size or capability of the structure does not change from that approved for the undertaking under this Class EA or its predecessor." The updated definition for "maintenance" will read: "Refers to the upkeep, repair, and the replacement and/or upgrading of a structure, or its performance where the objective and application remain unchanged.	Erosion and flood control structures are under immense pressure due to climate change related impacts. Long-term asset management plans and resiliency should allow for a more flexible approach as erosion may become a problem just upstream or downstream of a historic or recently built structure. Undertaking maintenance works to prevent structural collapse would be beneficial and using the word volume/size contradicts the flexibility inherent in the first sentence where the objective and application remain unchanged. For example, constructing a 50-metre revetment to protect a park trail from streambank erosion is a commonly planned project. If erosion issues 2 years after construction were found just upstream of the structure and spanned 5-10 meters it would be beneficial to extend the treatment for the same objective/application, but this would also be a size/volume increase.

Amend ment Number	Section # or Text	Relevant Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
		approved project and is not independently subject to the planning and design process of this Class EA.	Where the structure in question is a CA owned and/or operated dam, maintenance refers to the upkeep, repair, replacement and/or upgrading of a structure, or its performance where the objective, and application remain unchanged, and the volume, size or capability of the structure does not change from that approved for the undertaking under this Class EA or its predecessor. Conservation Authorities shall endeavour to review all opportunities for incorporating environmental enhancements as part of project maintenance activities (e.g. using materials of equal or better properties, etc.)."	
7	Throug hout docume nt	Text throughout document	 Minor amendments have been made throughout the Class EA to: Change all references from "Aboriginal" to "Indigenous" (except when referring to Aboriginal or treaty rights); Update references to all government bodies and agencies; Italicize terms which have a corresponding definition in Appendix J; 	Minor administrative amendments necessary to update various naming conventions and acronyms, correct administrative inaccuracies, and provide clarification for proponents.

Amend ment Number	Section # or Text	Relevant Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
Tumber			Update definitions to ensure	
			consistency with how terms are	
			defined in the general regulation.	
8	N/A	Text throughout	Proposed Text—Notification	
		document		
			Notification sections should be updated to	
			advise proponents that they must submit any	
			required notices to the following email	
			addresses:	
			1. Generic Class EA email address:	
			ClassEAnotices@ontario.ca	
			2 The Regional Class EA amail address:	
			2. The Regional Class EA chian address.	
			eanotification cregion@ontario.ca	
			Eastern Region:	
			eanotification.eregion@ontario.ca	
			Northern Region:	
			eanotification.nregion@ontario.ca	
			South West Region:	
			eanotification.swregion@ontario.ca	
			West Central Region:	
			eanotification.wcregion@ontario.ca	
9	11.0	The purpose of the	MECP to provide standardized wording for	MECP is proposing an amendment to
		amending	this section.	standardize language for amending
		procedure is to		procedures across all Class EAs.
		allow for		
		modifications to		
		the approved Class		

Number Text	
EA after	
experience with its	
application has	
been gained. The	
types of	
amendments	
include major or	
minor amendments	
to the Class EA.	
The type of	
amendment	
procedure to be	
used is dependent	
on the nature of the	
amendments.	
CO, the MOECP	
or any other	
government	
ministries and	
agencies, members	
of the public,	
Aboriginal	
Indigenous Communities and	
Communities and	
organizations, and other interested	
bersons or	
persons or organization who	
fools that an	
amendment to the	

Amend ment Number	Section # or Text	Relevant Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
	ПСА	Class EA should		
		be made, will bring		
		the particular		
		concern to the		
		attention of the		
		Minister of the		
		Environment (for		
		major		
		amendments) or		
		the Director of the		
		EAB (for minor		
		amendments). In		
		doing so, they shall		
		set out the specific		
		concern, the reason		
		for that concern,		
		and the proposed		
		change. An outside		
		party should		
		consult with CO		
		before submitting a		
		proposed		
		amendment, and		
		should also		
		provide CO with a		
		copy of the		
		proposed		
		amendment.		

Amend ment	Section # or	Relevant Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
Number	Text			
10	7.0	It is recognized	MECP to provide standardized wording for	MECP is proposing an amendment to
		that the planning	this section.	standardize language for Part II Orders
		and design process,		across all Class EAs.
		as outlined, is one		
		which allows for		
		concerns to be		
		identified and		
		resolved through		
		the course of the		
		project's planning.		
		In some		
		circumstances,		
		however, it is		
		possible that issues		
		may be raised		
		during public		
		review of a project		
		that cannot be		
		easily		
		accommodated. In		
		cases where		
		concerns are raised		
		it is the		
		Conservation		
		Authority's		
		obligation, as		
		proponent, to use		
		all reasonable		
		means available to		
		them to resolve		

Amend ment	Section # or	Relevant Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
Number	Text			
		these concerns. In		
		circumstances		
		where interested		
		persons,		
		Aboriginal		
		Indigenous		
		Communities, or		
		government		
		agencies feel that		
		these efforts have		
		not been made,		
		they may seek to		
		have the proposed		
		undertaking made		
		subject to a more		
		rigorous planning,		
		design and		
		documentation		
		procedure. In the		
		case of all		
		which a DD was		
		prepared for		
		example a		
		Conservation		
		Authority may		
		volunteer to		
		prepare an ESR to		
		address the		

Section	Relevant Current	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
# or	Text		
Text			
	concerns of the		
	public/agencies.		
	The Part II Order		
	is the legal		
	mechanism		
	whereby the status		
	of an undertaking		
	can be elevated		
	from an		
	undertaking within		
	a Class EA to an		
	Individual		
	Environmental		
	Assessment.		
	According to		
	section 16 of the		
	EAA, the Minister		
	of the Environment		
	or delegate may by		
	order require a		
	proponent to		
	of the EAA before		
	or the EAA before		
	protecting with a		
	undertaking to		
	which a Class EA		
	would otherwise		
	Section # or Text	Section # or TextRelevant Current Text# or TextTextConcerns of the public/agencies.Concerns of the public/agencies.The Part II Order 	Section # or Text Relevant Current Text Proposed Amendment # or Text concerns of the public/agencies. Proposed Amendment Concerns of the public/agencies. concerns of the public/agencies. Proposed Amendment The Part II Order is the legal mechanism whereby the status of an undertaking can be elevated from an undertaking within a Class EA to an Individual Environmental Assessment. According to section 16 of the EAA, the Minister of the Environment or delegate may by order require a proponent to comply with Part II of the EAA before proceeding with a proposed undertaking to which a Class EA would otherwise

Amend	Section	Relevant Current	Proposed Amendment	Rationale
ment	# or	Text		
Number	Text			
		responsibility of		
		the Conservation		
		Authority to advise		
		the public of their		
		right to request a		
		Part II Order in		
		public notifications		
		(see Appendix E).		
		Any interested		
		persons,		
		Aboriginal		
		Indigenous		
		Community, or		
		government		
		agency may		
		request the		
		Minister of the		
		Environment or		
		delegate to issue a		
		Part II Order		
		within the public		
		review period for a		
		PP, ESR or an		
		Addendum.		